

Question 23: Historic Environment

50103

Consultee

Title

First Name Melanie

Surname Atwell

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50103-50 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50103-51 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50103-52 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

I don't think so

50007

Consultee

Title

First Name Serena

Surname Bailey

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50007-55 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

I am not informed enough on this issue to offer valuable feedback.

50007-56 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

I am not informed enough on this issue to offer valuable feedback.

50007-57 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Wenny Meadow in Chatteris is currently included in the plan to be built on, but that would be a travesty. The following lecture states clearly that the meadow features many historic features such as the medieval "ridge and furrow" landscape, and the features of 18th century park land (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O3IEGGzozuw>) I believe that this special space ought to be conserved.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50039

Consultee

Title

First Name Hilary

Surname Bailey

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50039-41 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes, the plan should also consider natural green spaces which have historical significance. The landscape of Wenny Meadow in Chatteris tells a narrative of medieval farming practices (visible ridge-and-furrow) as well as 18th century parkland. There is evidence of arboretum planting (multiple different varieties of oak tree). Once lost, these stories would vanish, since the landscape itself is the narrator.

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

50039-42 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Wenny Road meadow in Chatteris.

50046

Consultee

Title

First Name Bryan

Surname Baker

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50046-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes of course.

50046-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes even if not historic.

50046-58 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Yes

Question 23: Historic Environment

50111

Consultee

Title

First Name Kris

Surname Baxter

Position

Organisation Studio 11 Architecture

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50111-40 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50111-41 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50117

Consultee

Title

First Name Sharon, Patricia Joyce and Melanie

Surname Bester, Whittlesey and Curl - C/O NYC

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Mr

Richard

Mowat

Johnson Mowat

50117-43 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Each case should be considered on its own merits.

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50086

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Lee
Surname Bevens
Position
Organisation L Bevens Associates Architects Ltd

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50086-49 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

We need to protect our past but not necessarily at the expense of the future, so a balanced view.

50086-50 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50086-51 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No. The opposite. I think that there are areas of conservation areas that need to be reduced.

50122

Consultee

Title
First Name Robert
Surname Boddington
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50122-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50122-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50122-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Historic vernacular buildings such as mud walls in Whittlesey, some are outside the Conservation Area.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50106

Consultee

Title Councillor

First Name Gavin

Surname Booth

Position

Organisation Parson Drove Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50106-47 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50106-48 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes, keep existing.

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50029

Consultee

Title

First Name Ted

Surname Brand

Position

Organisation Brand Associates Architects

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50029-38 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50029-39 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50090

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Fiona

Name

Surname Bryan

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

50090-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes. There is so much commercial farming here that anywhere or anything with historical significance should be valued and protected, as different.

50090-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50090-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Anywhere that is not commercially farmed should be protected. There is little beauty here. Natural areas provide beauty and habitats for wildlife. Let's preserve and even enhance what little we have.

50060

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Nicole

Name

Surname Burnett

Surname

Position Senior Planner

Position

Organisation Gladman

Organisation

50060-18 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Question 23a) of the consultation document, seeks views on whether the FLP should go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment. Whilst Gladman recognise the importance of protecting the historic environment this needs to be balanced alongside the need for sustainable growth within the District.

The NPPF (2019) sets out clearly the national policy in relation to the historic environment, therefore it is important that any policies included within the FLP conform with this.

The Council need to avoid providing additional protection without the necessary justification. Any decisions made to require additional protection or to identify buildings of local importance will need to be evidence based.

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50070

Consultee

Title

First Name C

Surname Burrell

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50070-31 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50070-32 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50083

Consultee

Title

First Name Andrew

Surname Burrell

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50083-48 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes otherwise we will just build over it and there will be nothing left of this wonderful place for our grand children and their children

50083-49 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50083-50 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Tydd St Giles old school opposite the church should be saved for the community

Question 23: Historic Environment

50027

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname Cannon Kirk

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name Andrew

Surname Hodgson

Position

Organisation Pegasus Group

50027-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No comment

50027-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No comment

50027-58 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No comment

50066

Consultee

Title

First Name James

Surname Carney

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50066-53 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes - however if somebody is prepared to take on an old building, restore it and retain its appearance as far as is practical, let them do so without putting too many 'heritage' obstacles in their way.

50066-54 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes - the mistakes of the 1960s and 70s should not happen again!

50066-55 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Parson Drove Green plus the 'Cage'.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50004

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Matthew

Name

Surname Carpenter

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

50004-26 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes, it does very little to protect the historic environment at present, or is unused. This is a fundamental requirement of the planning system, having its own Act. Fenland have given little priority to the historic environment during the course of the last plan – see plastic windows thought our conservation areas as an example.

50004-27 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50109

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Steve

Name

Surname Count

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

50109-6 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50109-7 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No, this should be handled by the listing process

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50124

Consultee

Title

First Name Charlotte

Surname Dew

Position

Organisation Larkfleet Homes

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50124-44 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

The Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act 1990 already covers listed buildings and scheduled monuments of social architectural or historic interest.

50124-45 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No

50124-46 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

50121

Consultee

Title

First Name Anne

Surname Dew

Position Development Planning Manager - East

Organisation Persimmon Homes

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50121-45 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50121-46 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50121-47 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

Question 23: Historic Environment

50009

Consultee

Title

First Name Lesley

Surname Dorling

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50009-47 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50009-48 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No

50009-49 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

NO

50010

Consultee

Title

First Name Eamonn

Surname Dorling

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50010-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

There are also nature reserves that should be nominated for SSSI. Kings Dyke Nature Reserve may benefit from the Must Farm Heritage Centre – FDC could support this initiative in many ways.

50010-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

There already are areas and local Neighbourhood Plans feature conservation areas – leave it to those documents.

50010-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Only nature reserves as indicated above.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50018

Consultee

Title Councillor

First Name James

Surname Downes

Position

Organisation Leverington Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50018-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50018-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50018-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Yes

50127

Consultee

Title

First Name Valerie

Surname Emmons

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50127-40 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes.

50127-41 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes - they largely define the character of rural towns

50127-42 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Yes, rare unspoilt, unfarmed areas, such as Wenny Meadow in Chatteris.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50119

Consultee

Title

First Name Maxim

Surname Emmons

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50119-29 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Where necessary, yes.

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50076

Consultee

Title Mrs

First Name D

Surname Evans

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50076-20 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

yes

50076-21 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

yes

50076-22 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Wenny Meadow (Manor park) Chatteris

Question 23: Historic Environment

50100

Consultee

Title

First Name Susanah

Surname Farmer

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50100-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No, but an overall scheme could be effective in reducing the impact on historic buildings by not encouraging development of HMOs or requiring changes for today's retail requirements, allowing more sympathetic use of older buildings for smaller business or residetail use. May need no car rules within town centres!

50100-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Think current policies are adequate if used correctly.

50100-58 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

50101

Consultee

Title

First Name Roger

Surname George

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50101-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

I think FDC needs to consider having more, or all of Fenland being given some form of protective status.

50101-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No. Separate schemes exist for this.

50101-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Yes, most of Fenland.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50032

Consultee

Title Mr

First Name Iain

Surname Green

Position Senior Public Health Officer

Organisation Cambridgeshire County Council (Publi

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50032-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Public health have no views on the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment

50032-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Public health have no views on the buildings of local importance

50032-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Public health have no views on the additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas.

50077

Consultee

Title

First Name M J

Surname Hawkins

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

50077-20 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes but there might be disputes about which buildings should be designated.

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50033

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Mark
Surname Hemment
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50033-27 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes. Historic areas, as well as buildings, should have increased protection. Tree Preservation Orders should identify specific trees and not groupings. A group is often poorly defined by a TPO, leaving tree officers, the public, and developers unsure which trees have protection.

50033-28 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

50033-29 Yes, including any land which has strong historical links to the buildings. Wenny Road Meadow, which was once the manor park to the adjacent Manor House, should enjoy the same protection given to the house.

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Wenny Road Meadow (aka Manor Park) in Chatteris. This historic space still shows the medieval ridge-and-furrow farming from before it was a manor park (c1800). It is an unmanaged, natural green space with high biodiversity. The field has been used for town sport days in the past, along with galas and agricultural shows

50012

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name M Sadie
Surname Heritage
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50012-37 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

50012-38 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

After discussions and public involvement

50012-39 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

I don't know where the conservation areas are

Question 23: Historic Environment

50128

Consultee

Title

First Name Stephen

Surname Hodson

Position

Organisation Hodsons

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50128-49 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50128-50 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50128-51 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No, conservation areas are accurate.

50112

Consultee

Title Cllr

First Name Samantha

Surname Hoy

Position

Organisation FDC/WTC

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50112-46 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

yes

50112-47 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

yes

50112-48 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

no

Question 23: Historic Environment

50003

Consultee

Title Ms
First Name Ruth
Surname Hufton
Position

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

Organisation Doddington Parish Council

50003-54 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes otherwise we will never encourage new tourism in the area. There are other historic places to visit and history to be told and these should be taken into account alongside the obvious Wisbech Georgian beauties.

50003-55 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50003-56 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

The View from Benwick Road to St. Mary's church.

50056

Consultee

Title Ms
First Name Shanna
Surname Jackson
Position Chartered Town Planner

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

Organisation Swann Edwards Architecture Limited

50056-51 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50056-52 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50056-53 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

The area around the church in Tydd St Giles could be afforded more protection.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50072

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ray
Surname Johnson
Position Chairman
Organisation The Wisbech Society

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50072-1 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

As the 'Capital of the Fens', Wisbech has a rich culture and history as an inland port, with many beautiful buildings in close proximity to its town centre. In particular, Wisbech was described by the antiquarian, Sir Nikolaus Pevsner, as 'one of the most attractive towns of East Anglia' and the North Brink as 'one of the most perfect Georgian streets of England'. Wisbech is a key tourist destination within Fenland. Close to the North Norfolk coast, Wisbech attracts many visitors to its attractions, including, the National Trust's Peckover House, Octavia Hill's Birthplace House, Wisbech & Fenland Museum, Elgood's Brewery & Gardens, Wisbech Castle, to name a few. Unfortunately, in recent decades, this important historic fabric has undergone much decline with some dereliction to some of its most prominent buildings, including the Wisbech Institute, Phoenix Hotel, Corn Exchange and a number of properties in the High Street. To arrest this decline and encourage property owners to maintain their properties, greater Planning and Conservation resource is required to support owners with grants and technical advice, backed up with enforcement and compliance actions where necessary. Some good work has begun, with the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) to restore properties in the High Street and the Wisbech Society's HLF project to restore the chapel in the General Cemetery. This positive action can be built on to expand to the re-development of other buildings in the Town Centre Conservation Area and return them to community use.

50072-2 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

The UK Government's National Planning Policy Framework, February 2019, section 16. Point 187. states, 'Local planning authorities should maintain or have access to a historic environment record. This should contain up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area ...' Point 188. states 'Local planning authorities should make information about the historic environment, gathered as part of policy-making or development management, publicly accessible.' However, the Fenland District Council website, under 'Heritage:Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas' only includes sections on Listed Buildings, Article 4 Directions and Buildings At Risk but there is no public access to the lists of properties in these sections (the website only states that a Buildings At Risk List will be available in 2015??). There is no section that defines Buildings of Local Importance or identifies such properties. This should be rectified and a relevant section included in the FDC website, along with full lists of properties under each section. Wisbech has a number of properties within the Conservation Areas, which have no protections in place, but are of historic importance to our built heritage, including The Wisbech Institute and White Lion Hotel.

50072-3 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

The Wisbech Town Centre Conservation Area, should be considered for extension to include the area bounded by Queen's Road, Victoria Road and Churchill Road, including Tillery Field. This is a contiguous area of Victorian terraced and semi-detached houses, of a construction and style that merits some protection from inappropriate development and alterations.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50069

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Simon
Surname King
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50069-47 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Plastic windows should be prevented or removed in Conservation Areas and from listed buildings

50069-48 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50079

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Peter
Surname Lankfer
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title Mr
Name Tom
Surname Nellist
Position
Organisation Trundley Design

50079-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50079-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50079-58 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

Question 23: Historic Environment

50095

Consultee

Title

First Name Barrie

Surname Luck

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50095-53 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

yes

50095-54 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50089

Consultee

Title

First Name Karen

Surname Luck

Position

Organisation Romain

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50089-44 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes: let's aspire to do more than the minimum wherever possible.

50089-45 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50044

Consultee

Title Ms
First Name Debbie
Surname Mack
Position Historic Environment Planning Adviser
Organisation Historic England

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50044-13

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

We include some details advice in respect of the historic environment and Local Plans for consideration in the preparation of the forthcoming Plan.

It is important to note that the historic environment encompasses more than only streets and buildings. It includes designated heritage assets such as listed buildings, conservation areas, Registered Parks and Gardens and scheduled monuments as well as non-designated heritage assets such as local listed buildings, sites of archaeological importance, local green spaces, and undiscovered/unknown heritage assets. It also includes less tangible aspects of cultural heritage which contribute to local traditions and sense of place.

We expect to see appropriate references to setting in policies. Although setting itself is not a designated heritage asset, it can be a key aspect of a heritage asset's significance. As with assessing the impact of site allocations on setting, with a site specific allocation, it is important to understand the significance of any heritage asset/s, and their setting/s, that would be affected by the site allocation in order for the policy to reflect these considerations. This involves more than identifying known heritage assets within a given distance, but rather a more holistic process which seeks to understand their significance and value. Whilst a useful starting point, a focus on distance or visibility alone as a gauge is not appropriate. Site allocations which include a heritage asset (for example a site within a Conservation Area) may offer opportunities for enhancement and tackling heritage at risk, while conversely, an allocation at a considerable distance away from a heritage asset may cause harm to its significance, reducing the suitability of the site allocation in sustainable development terms. We would expect to see this reflected in the policy wording and supporting text.

The forthcoming Local Plan should recognise the interrelationship between the historic environment and landscape. It would be helpful however to acknowledge that the landscape itself constitutes part of the historic environment and is not a separate entity to it. The position of hedgerows and field markings for example shows how the land was used in the past, and the position and siting of access points, tracks and footpaths often indicate the historical way people would access and travel through the landscape. These features can be a powerful visual remnant of more rural heritage and traditions. The layout of the landscape, its undulations and wider views can have historic significance as well as landscape significance so it is important to acknowledge this in the Plan. The east of England is rich in the designed landscapes of parks and gardens, and the built and natural environments they contain; these are as important to national and indeed international culture as our buildings.

The conservation and enhancement of the historic environment can bring a range of multi-faceted benefits which can help achieve spatial planning goals. Recognising the role the historic environment has to play in creating locally distinct places can help improve economic prospects for places within the Plan area through tourism for example or heritage-led regeneration. It can help improve well-being for local residents, and promotes an understanding of local history and identity. It is important to see the opportunities that some developments may have in enhancing the historic environment through public realm improvement, allowing public access or better revealing significance. A coordinated appreciation of the historic environment which addresses both the heritage assets themselves and their setting will reinforce their integrity and therefore will help ensure that historic places and spaces continue to provide

Question 23: Historic Environment

long term public benefits. An integrated approach to policy preparation which recognises the social, economic and environmental dimensions of the historic environment and which seek to conserve this irreplaceable resource maximise the effect heritage assets can have.

It is advised that the forthcoming Local Plan address non-designated heritage assets such as locally listed buildings. The Local Plan should also address Heritage at Risk both on the National Register and on the local register. Robust provision for these heritage assets will increase the soundness of your forthcoming plan.

The Local Plan should be locally specific to Fenland. It should reference the types of heritage assets which make up the stock of designated and non-designated assets within the Plan area. It would be helpful to describe the types and nature of settlements which characterise the area. These vary between the towns and villages within the District. At the Strategic level, the Plan should recognise the irreplaceable nature of the historic environment and heritage assets, it should convey the importance of the historic environment and how it helps create a sense of local distinctiveness and contributes towards the creation of high quality places that people want to live and work in. The need to conserve or enhance the historic environment should be referred to explicitly.

It is recommended that any forthcoming historic environment policies reference to how proposals should address technical archaeological matters.

We recommend the inclusion of a focused section or chapter within any forthcoming Local Plan on the landscape, heritage and design within the consultation document.

A positive strategy for the Historic Environment

Paragraph 185 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive and clear strategy for the conservation, enjoyment and enhancement of the historic environment. Ideally the strategy should offer a strategic overview including overarching heritage policies to deliver the conservation and enhancement of the environment.

A good strategy will offer a positive holistic approach throughout the whole plan whereby the historic environment is considered not just as a stand-alone topic but as an integral part of every aspect of the plan, being interwoven within the entire document. So policies for housing, retail, and transport for example may need to be tailored to achieve the positive improvements that paragraph 8 of the NPPF demands. Site allocations may need to refer to the historic environment, identifying opportunities to conserve and enhance the historic environment, avoid harming heritage assets and their settings and may also be able to positively address heritage assets at risk. The plan may need to include areas identified as being inappropriate for certain types of development due to the impact they would have on the historic environment.

A good strategy will also be spatially specific, unique to the area, describing the local characteristics of the borough and responding accordingly with policies that address the local situation. We would expect references to the historic environment in the local plan vision, the inclusion of a policy/ies for the historic environment and character of the landscape and built environment, and various other references to the historic environment through the plan relating to the unique characteristics of the area.

Evidence based

For a local plan we would expect to see a comprehensive and robust evidence base for the historic environment. Any evidence base should be proportionate. Sources include:

National Heritage List for England. www.historicengland.org.uk/the-list/
<<http://www.historicengland.org.uk/the-list/>>

Heritage Gateway. www.heritagegateway.org.uk <<http://www.heritagegateway.org.uk>>

Historic Environment Record.

Question 23: Historic Environment

National and local heritage at risk registers. [Www.historicengland.org.uk/advice/heritage-at-risk](http://www.historicengland.org.uk/advice/heritage-at-risk)
<<http://www.historicengland.org.uk/advice/heritage-at-risk>>

Non-designated or locally listed heritage assets (buildings, monuments, parks and gardens, areas)

Conservation area appraisals and management plans

Historic characterisation assessments e.g. the Extensive Urban Surveys and Historic Landscape Characterisation Programme or more local documents.

[Www.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/EUS/](http://www.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/EUS/)

<<http://www.archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/EUS/>>

Environmental capacity studies for historic towns and cities or for historic areas e.g. the Craven Conservation Areas Assessment Project. [Www.cravenc.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=11207&p=0](http://www.cravenc.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=11207&p=0)

<<http://www.cravenc.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=11207&p=0>>

Detailed historic characterization work assessing impact of specific proposals.

Heritage Impact Assessments looking into significance and setting.

Green Belt studies.

Visual impact assessments.

Archaeological assessments.

Topic papers

Non-designated Heritage Assets

In national policy terms, 'non-designated heritage assets' (including those on a local list) are recognised as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions. Paragraph 197 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that decisions on applications affecting such assets will require a balanced judgment that has regard to the significance of the asset and any harm or loss:

<<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/policy/achieving-sustainable-development/delivering-sustainable-development/12-conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment/>>

Government guidance recognises that local lists and local criteria for identifying non-designated heritage assets are a positive thing and can help with decision-making:

<<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment/what-are-non-designated-heritage-assets-and-how-important-are-they/>>

We would recommend that as a minimum a local authority has established criteria for identifying non-designated heritage assets, and ideally has a local list of assets linked to planning policies in their Local Plan. A good example is Peterborough:

<http://www2.peterborough.gov.uk/environment/listed_buildings/locally_listed_buildings.aspx>

There are enough appeal cases to indicate that inspectors regard non-designated heritage assets, and something on a local list, as an important material consideration in planning decisions. In fact, where there isn't a local list, some inspectors have been unable to give as much weight to a non-designated heritage asset. Our website contains a number of appeal cases and if you search for 'locally listed heritage asset' or 'non-designated heritage asset', you will get relevant ones:

<<http://www.historicengland.org.uk/advice/hpg/planning-cases/>>

Robust provision for these heritage assets will increase the soundness of your forthcoming plan.

General comments on historic environment policy wording, including for site allocations

It is important that policies include sufficient information regarding criteria for development. Paragraph 16d of the NPPF states that policies should provide a clear indication of how a decision maker should react to a development proposal.

To that end we make the following suggestions for consideration when drafting site allocation policies.

A) The policy and supporting text should refer to the designated assets and their settings both on site and nearby. By using the word 'including' this avoids the risk of missing any assets off the list.

Question 23: Historic Environment

- B) The policy should use the appropriate wording from the list below depending on the type of asset e.g. conservation area or listed building or combination of heritage assets
- c) The policy and supporting text should refer to specific appropriate mitigation measures e.g. landscaping or careful design or maintaining key views or buffer/set back/breathing space etc.
- D) The NPPF is very clear on the importance of setting of all assets - further advice about settings is given in our advice note and also in the PPG. However, settings are not protected in and of themselves. It is the harm to significance that may be caused by development within the setting of an asset which is key. Try to work this into the policy or at least supporting text.
- E) The NPPF uses the words 'conserve and enhance' and 'sustain and enhance'.
- F) PPG explains in more detail what is meant by conservation and enhancement of the historic environment. Here the wording is 'conserved and where appropriate enhanced'.
- G) The NPPF is newer than the legislation.
- H) Local Plans need to be consistent with the policies in the Framework

Where a site has the potential to affect a heritage asset, we would expect to see the following typical wording within the policy:

combination of heritage assets - 'Development should conserve/sustain or where appropriate enhance the significance of heritage assets (noting that significance may be harmed by development within the setting of an asset).'

This is based on the wording in the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance Paragraph: 002 Reference ID: 18a-002-20190723 Revision date: 23 07 2019

listed building 'Development should preserve the significance of the listed building (noting that significance may be harmed by development within the setting of an asset).'

This is based on the wording in Part 1, Chapter 1, paragraph 1 (3) (b) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

conservation area 'Development should preserve, or where opportunities arise, enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area and its setting'.

This is based on the wording in Part 2, paragraph 69 (a) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and paragraph 200 of the NPPF.

Note that if you refer to character ...appearance, use the word 'or' not 'and'

registered park and garden - 'Development should conserve or enhance the significance of the registered park and garden assets (noting that significance may be harmed by development within the setting of an asset).'

scheduled monument 'Development should conserve or enhance the significance of the scheduled monument (noting that significance may be harmed by development within the setting of an asset).'

Or instead of the words in brackets you could add the following sentence

'This includes any contribution made to its significance by its setting.'

50044-14

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50038

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ian
Surname Mason
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50038-33 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes it should consider the ever dwindling number of historic common and open spaces within urban environs and ensure green spaces are incorporated in planning more often, especially in support of physical health and mental well being. Conservation areas should increase and enforcement of things like tree preservation orders with replacement policies for tree and hedgerow, meadow and roadside swathes made mandatory.

50038-34 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

50038-35 Yes, we should be starting to recognise we are in the 21st century and that 20th century architecture is now historic.

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Perhaps waterways and drainage areas that protect vital areas against flood risk.

50042

Consultee

Title
First Name Jane Ann
Surname Mason
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50042-36 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50042-37 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50042-38 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Yes. Preservation of unique green spaces that have historic value, such as Wenny Meadow in Chatteris.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50074

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Geoffrey Mathias

Name

Surname Mathias

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

50074-40 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

YES

50074-41 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

YES

50074-42 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

THE GEORGIAN FRONTAGES ON NORTH AND SOUTH BRINK WISBECH

50073

Consultee

Agent

Title Mr

Title

First Name John

Name

Surname Maxey

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation Maxey Grounds

Organisation

50073-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

The most important landscape features should be protected but other heritage features are actually of little visual value or importance in which case national requirements are sufficient

50073-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

No- they receive designation via the listing system if appropriate

50073-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

Question 23: Historic Environment

50129

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name J
Surname Melton
Position
Organisation Chatteris Town Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50129-53 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50129-54 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50129-55 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

50126

Consultee

Title
First Name Alan
Surname Melton
Position
Organisation Manea Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50126-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

No

50126-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50126-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

Question 23: Historic Environment

50051

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name E
Surname Murat
Position Parish Council Clerk
Organisation Parson Drove Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50051-11 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50051-12 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50015

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Geoff
Surname Newham
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50015-45 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50015-46 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50015-47 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

All open, rural, agricultural land!

Small rural village locations define the Fens and should be preserved

Question 23: Historic Environment

50011

Consultee

Title

First Name

Alex

Surname

Patrick

Position

Organisation

Alexandra Design

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50011-25

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

Parson Drove conservation area needs re-visiting

50011-26

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

Of course, makes it easier for all viewing it

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50040

Consultee

Title

Mrs

First Name

J

Surname

Richardson

Position

Organisation

Benwick Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50040-55

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

Yes if the analysis suggests it is worth it.

50040-56

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

Yes

50040-57

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

No

Question 23: Historic Environment

50082

Consultee

Title

First Name Bryan

Surname Rose

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50082-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes we must protect the historic environment

50082-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50082-58 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Local representatives should be able to nominate such areas following consultation with the local inhabitants.

50094

Consultee

Title

First Name Gerald

Surname Seabrook

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50094-30 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50094-31 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Question 23: Historic Environment

50075

Consultee

Title

First Name Pam

Surname Shippey

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50075-30 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50075-31 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50026

Consultee

Title Mr

First Name Jonathan

Surname Stone

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50026-57 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

The CCG does not have a view on this

50026-58 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

The CCG does not have a view on this

50026-59 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

The CCG does not have a view on this

Question 23: Historic Environment

50088

Consultee

Title

First Name Richard

Surname Tester

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50088-56 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

If possible it would be good for the area, but I suspect that this isn't a priority.

50088-57 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

50088-58 Yes, providing they can be protected in some way from decay, unsuitable redevelopment and unsuitable nearby developments

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Not aware of any

50034

Consultee

Title

First Name Katie

Surname Thornley

Position Senior Finance Manager

Organisation Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Sus

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50034-55 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

We would not prioritise this.

50034-56 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

We would not prioritise this.

50034-57 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

We would not prioritise this.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50084

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Triman Developments (UK) Limited an

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Mr

Simon

Machen

Barmach Ltd

50084-50

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

No.

50084-51

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

Yes.

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

50030

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Kate

Waller

Elm Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

50030-56

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

The Council recommends that there is provision for individual assessments to be made beyond the minimum requirements

50030-57

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

The Council believes that building of local importance should be identified and local communities made aware of the significance and importance of these buildings

50030-58

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

Not within the Elm Parish Council area.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50024

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Lawrence
Surname Weetman
Position Chairman
Organisation Chatteris Past, Present & Future

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50024-47

23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?

Yes.

Chatteris' welcome sign says that it is an "Historic Fenland Town". Chatteris' historic nature is part of its identity, but it has sadly found itself on Historic England's Conservation Area Risk Register. We believe that the plan should place greater importance upon the Conservation Areas in Fenland, with a commitment towards stronger protections and more frequent appraisals of these important parts of our district.

We think that the plan should ensure that planning committees not only consider Listed Buildings and those identified as buildings of Local Importance, but also require developers and the council to take into consideration the historic nature of non-designated historic assets and the character and layout of the town. We are also extremely concerned about threats to Historic Open Spaces such as "Wenny Meadow", which is actually an 18th/19th Century landscape park with medieval features. We would like to see these spaces preserved.

Work by Chatteris Past, Present & Future has also uncovered the worrying situation that around half of trees in Chatteris protected by TPOs no longer exist. Much greater care should be taken to protect these trees, which are covered by TPOs due to their age, their maturity and special setting that they provide to the town.

Sources & Useful info:

News article on Conservation Areas being placed on the "at risk" register:

<https://www.cambstimes.co.uk/news/our-heritage-at-risk-conservation-areas-of-chatteris-march-and-whittlesey-placed-on-risk-register-1-4282198> Historic England "at risk" register:
<https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/har-2018-registers/ee-har-register2018/>

Non-designated heritage assets: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment#non-designated>

Talk on Wenny Meadow (for Chatteris Past, Present & Future) by Dr Sarah Spooner of UEA:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O3IEGGzozuw> Cambs Times article on talk:

<https://www.cambstimes.co.uk/news/bid-to-save-chatteris-beauty-spot-1-5751524> Fenland Citizen article on talk: <https://www.fenlandcitizen.co.uk/news/campaigners-hope-historic-details-of-wenny-meadow-in-chatteris-can-help-save-it-from-development-9047124/> Save Wenny Meadow campaign: <http://savewennyroadmeadow.org/>

Chatteris Past, Present & Future tree project coverage: <https://www.cambstimes.co.uk/news/chatteris-trees-need-to-be-saved-says-a-newly-formed-society-1-4319495>

50024-48

23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?

50024-49

Yes. The Fenland Civic Societies have long hoped for a "local list" or a similar scheme to provide further protection for currently non-designated heritage assets. This could help to provide more guidance and certainty for the public, building owners and developers.

Question 23: Historic Environment

We believe that the scheme should be straightforward and allow submissions of buildings of interest at any time. Such a scheme could be modeled on FDC's existing process for identifying, nominating and assessing applications for Asset of Community Value status. This system seems to be well-developed and would most likely need minimal changes in order to support the creation of a process for managing a local list.

Asset of Community Value scheme at Fenland, which could be a model for a local list scheme for buildings of local importance: <https://www.fenland.gov.uk/righttobid>

23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?

We would like to see additional protection for natural/open green spaces (such as the historic Wenny Meadow) and the important waterways around Fenland.

50001

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Alan

Name

Surname Wheeldon

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

50001-54 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes definitely

50001-55 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50001-56 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

Parks e.g. Wisbech Park It is over 150 years old with many rare and original trees. When they are felled for various reasons they are not being replaced. A recent survey by the arboriculturalists Hoyden consultants estimated that with 40 years there will only be 29 trees left down from the present 219. This would be a great loss and goes against all current policies regarding the health benefits of open spaces and their contribution to helping control climate change.

Question 23: Historic Environment

50107

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ray
Surname Whitwell
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50107-52 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50107-53 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50107-54 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

No

50047

Consultee

Title
First Name Geoff
Surname Wilkinson
Position
Organisation Wisbech St Mary Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

50047-42 **23a) Should the Local Plan go beyond the minimum requirements for protecting the historic environment?**

Yes

50047-43 **23b) Should the Plan identify buildings of local importance?**

Yes

50047-44 **23c) Are there any areas that you think require additional protection that are not already identified as conservation areas?**

School playing fields.