

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50103

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Melanie

Name

Surname Atwell

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50103-6 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50103-7 A flexible policy would be fairer I think

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50103-8 Boundaries should be set where the last address is in the village

50007

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Serena

Name

Surname Bailey

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50007-8 The danger is that by being determined to only build houses within the boundary, every spare inch of space will be used (endangering local green space treasured by many community members) for the sake of protecting wider countryside. There may be benefits in accepting a wider geographical spread if it allowed residents to still enjoy space and easy access to green space

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50007-9 Based on my response to 4a I believe that some flexibility is to be recommended.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50007-10 I am not informed enough on this issue to offer valuable feedback.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50039

Consultee

Title

First Name Hilary

Surname Bailey

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50039-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50039-9 The boundary should be honoured strictly.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50039-10 In Chatteris, the default assumption seems to be that the by-pass is natural boundary, but this is a big mistake. It is vital to have some green space accessible to residents inside the bypass, since accessing the countryside across the bypass is perilous. Also, no one wants to live adjacent to a busy road. On the east side of the town, I would therefore suggest the following boundary: [Map included with form]

50046

Consultee

Title

First Name Bryan

Surname Baker

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50046-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50046-9 Yes i), No ii)

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50046-10 Consult Parish Council as to where i.e. to take in other parts adjoining us.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50111

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Kris | Name | |
| Surname | Baxter | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | Studio 11 Architecture | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50111-5 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50111-6 It should allow for a flexible policy for sustainable development in accordance with the NPPF.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50117

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Title | | Title | Mr |
| First Name | Sharon, Patricia Joyce and Melanie | Name | Richard |
| Surname | Bester, Whittlesey and Curl - C/O NYC | Surname | Mowat |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | | Organisation | Johnson Mowat |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50117-6 No. The introduction of settlement boundaries would reduce the flexibility of the development within the District.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50117-7 A flexible policy allows for development which adjoins the settlement boundary would be most suitable. It would ensure the targets for development can be met. It also ensures development come forwards rather than unviable, stalled allocations not coming forward.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50117-8 Settlement boundaries not recommended.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50086

Consultee

Title Mr

First Name Lee

Surname Bevens

Position

Organisation L Bevens Associates Architects Ltd

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50086-8 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50086-9 Flexible policy

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50086-10 They are too restrictive, please do not introduce them.

50122

Consultee

Title

First Name Robert

Surname Boddington

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50122-8 Yes, ransom strips between development.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50122-9 i) Hard boundaries

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50122-10 Agricultural field systems and waterways should be the natural boundaries.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50106

Consultee

Agent

Title Councillor

Title

First Name Gavin

Name

Surname Booth

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation Parson Drove Parish Council

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50106-7 Yes.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50106-8 Hard with exception sites for affordable housing.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50106-9 Discuss with public and agree with Town / Parish Councils.

50029

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Ted

Name

Surname Brand

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation Brand Associates Architects

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50029-6 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50029-7 ii) Flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50090

Consultee

Title

First Name Fiona

Surname Bryan

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50090-8 No

**4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?**

50090-9 flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50090-10 In Chatteris, a large ring road should be built considerably wider than the current town. This could be connected up to existing and future (hopefully) main roads and divert heavy traffic away from residential areas. This could be considered the boundary, in my view.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50060

Consultee

Title

First Name Nicole

Surname Burnett

Position Senior Planner

Organisation Gladman

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50060-6 The consultation document outlines how the adopted 2014 Local Plan removed settlement boundaries to allow for greater flexibility to support further growth, but that the Council through the emerging FLP is now considering re-introducing settlement boundaries to provide greater certainty.

Gladman would be opposed to the inclusion of settlement boundaries if this would preclude appropriately sited and sustainable development coming forward to meet the district's housing needs, in accordance with the Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development. Proposals that are sustainable should go ahead without delay. An overly restrictive approach could result in a plan that is not positively prepared or effective.

Gladman would be supportive of the Council continuing with the approach in the adopted Local Plan, which does not include settlement boundaries, as these are often too tightly drawn and rigidly applied which in effect can restrict otherwise sustainable development from coming forwards.

However, the Council decide there is a need to provide greater certainty for both residents and developers (as the consultation documents suggest may be the case) we would recommend the use of a criteria based policy rather than a strict settlement boundary policy.

The policy should be flexible enough to be able to accommodate new development outside of development boundaries, to allow the Council to quickly address any issues in shortfall in housing supply against the plan requirement. Gladman recommend that the Council could incorporate a criteria based policy to achieve this, such an approach would allow the plan to protect itself against unsustainable development at the same time being open and flexible to additional development opportunities to come forward to meet identified needs. Gladman refer to the adopted Harborough Local Plan, Policy GD2, which states:-

"In addition to sites allocated by this Local Plan and neighbourhood plans, development adjoining the existing or committed built up area of Market Harborough, Key Centres, the Leicestershire Principal Urban Area (PUA), Rural Centres and Selected Rural Villages will be permitted where..."

A series of criteria then follows.

Clearly the policy here would need to reflect the local circumstances of Fenland, but it does provide an example of a local authority taking a proactive approach to guiding development, and ensuring that they can meet their housing targets and can plan for approaches if and when problems arise over the course of a plan with the delivery of allocated sites.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:

- i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
- ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50060-7 Gladman note question 4b) in the consultation document and suggest that in line with point ii) of this the local plan should include a flexible policy that would allow for development which adjoins or relates well to the settlement boundary providing certain criteria are met. As outlined above, Gladman would be supportive of such an approach.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50060-8 In terms of determining where the settlement boundaries go, if the Council decide to go down this

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

route, it is fundamental that these are not drawn too tightly and do not merely follow the existing built form. The settlement boundaries would need to include sufficient land to enable residential developments to be brought forward to meet the identified housing needs.

50083

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Andrew

Name

Surname Burrell

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50083-7 Yes and strictly enforced

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50083-8 hard boundary with strict policies

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50027

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname Cannon Kirk

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name Andrew

Surname Hodgson

Position

Organisation Pegasus Group

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50027-8 No. Settlement boundaries tend to be an arbitrary line drawn on a map which unnecessarily restrict development in sustainable locations. The LPA should adopt a more relaxed approach to development in and around settlements and where development is sustainable and in keeping with the character and appearance of an area this should be supported.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50027-9 n/a

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50066

Consultee

Title

First Name James

Surname Carney

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50066-8 I wasn't aware they were abolished to begin with!

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50066-9 ii) because otherwise too strict a control on settlement boundaries may compromise or hinder Fenland's local housing needs.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50066-10 I would say it should be determined as to how close a neighbouring town or village is. You would need to ascertain whether a buffer zone was necessary to retain the identity and physical size of a settlement.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50115

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Clifton Homes Ltd

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Mr

Matthew

Kendrick

Grass Roots Planning

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50115-6 We would support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries as this is a standard planning policy tool that clearly guides where development will be found acceptable and areas which are instead to be considered as 'open countryside', subject to more restrictive policies.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50115-7 We would support a flexible policy that would allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met. This would provide the plan with the flexibility it needs to react to rapid change, a key requirement of the NPPF.

3.8 Settlement boundaries are an effective tool for establishing where the principle of development will be found acceptable, but a hard boundary with strict policies to limit development may result in perfectly suitable sites being refused for sustainable development with no reasonable justification.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50115-8 As set out in the introduction, we are promoting land north of Benwick Road, Doddington, as a future allocation in the proposed new Local Plan, for circa 18 dwellings. We consider this to be a sustainable location for development which will result in no adverse effects and could contribute to housing need (both market and affordable) in Fenland District.

3.10 The site lies in close proximity to all services and facilities available in Doddington, lying approximately 200m away from the facilities on New Street; 300m to One Stop and the Fish Bar on the B1093; and 100m to the nearest bus stop (all measured from the centre of the site). This falls well within the reasonable walking distance thresholds identified in the Manual for Streets guidance which indicates that everyday facilities should lie within 800m (or 10 minutes) walking distance.

3.11 Settlement boundaries generally follow the line of existing built development; committed development; and site allocations (both current and proposed). As such, we have suggested a settlement boundary for Doddington which can be found in Appendix B.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50110

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Steve

Name

Surname Count

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50110-3 Yes, however boundaries needs to be linked to delivery timetables.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50110-4 Flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50110-5 Flood Zone should be first consideration.

50067

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name John

Name

Surname Covill

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50067-7 Yes strongly

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50067-8 A hard boundary is best as developers will try to get round anything not rigid.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50067-9 Take advice from Parish Councils as they have local knowledge.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50099

Consultee

Title

First Name Mark

Surname Deas

Position

Organisation Cambridgeshire ACRE

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50099-4 Yes, the lack of settlement boundaries makes it very difficult to deliver rural exception schemes. The lack of such schemes in Fenland is due to a combination of factors. However, we have continued to deliver rural exception schemes successfully in South Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire where the settlement boundary approach has remained an important policy tool.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50099-5 Too much flexibility will hinder the development of rural exception schemes. South Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire offer two alternative approaches. South Cambridgeshire continues to apply a 'red line' around villages. Huntingdonshire refers to the 'built-up area'. Neither approach has imposed an impediment to the progression of rural exception schemes. The lack of a settlement boundary seems to have brought forward development unwanted by local communities. Development would be better achieved through an allocation process with additional growth on an 'exception' basis.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50099-6 See comments above. The Local Plan could simply refer to the 'built-up area'. If a line is to be drawn this should follow the current built-up area with an allowance made for any new allocations.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50124

Consultee

Title

First Name Charlotte

Surname Dew

Position

Organisation Larkfleet Homes

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50124-7 No. We do not consider that settlement boundaries are in accord with Framework

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50124-8 Our preference if settlement boundaries are introduced is for a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met

If a boundary policy were to be introduced it would have to be flexible to be consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework. Rural and entry level exception sites are listed in Annex 2 of the NPPF and provide the opportunity for housing development on land that has not already been allocated for housing. The NPPF lists the specific criteria these types of applications sites need to meet to be considered by the LPA.

Paragraph 77 of the framework states LPA's 'should support opportunities to bring forward rural exception sites that will provide affordable housing to meet identified local needs, and consider whether allowing some market housing on these sites would help to facilitate this.' Paragraph 71 of the framework also states LPA's 'should support the development of entry-level exception sites, suitable for first time buyers (or those looking to rent their first home), unless the need for such homes is already being met within the authority's area. These sites should be on land which is not already allocated for housing and should...'

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50124-9 Our preference would be not to have any boundaries however, if the policy was re-introduced it would need to be flexible to accommodate government policy.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50121

Consultee

Title

First Name Anne

Surname Dew

Position Development Planning Manager - East

Organisation Persimmon Homes

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50121-8 No. Settlement boundaries should not be reintroduced as it would restrict growth within Tier 1 settlements that is desperately needed. As currently the planning system is too rigid as it is and therefore this restricts development further and constrains opportunities that maybe there but cannot be utilised.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50121-9 ii) A flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50121-10 Persimmon Homes have an interest in a site at March which is part of an existing site allocation in the current Adopted Fenland Local Plan (West March Strategic Allocation). The extent of the land within this allocation which Persimmon Homes have an interest in is shown on the accompanying Boundary Plan for March, drawing number BP/MAR/001. If settlement boundaries are to be included in the plan, this deliverable site should be included. The settlement boundary also needs to include the required land to provide for the identified housing and employment growth up to 2040.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50010

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Eamonn | Name | |
| Surname | Dorling | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50010-8 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50010-9 Flexible, development should be considered using wider criteria than traditional custom. There is evidence that it has been ignored in many cases anyway.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50010-10 Don't waste your time and effort.

50009

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Lesley | Name | |
| Surname | Dorling | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50009-7 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50009-8 Answer ii) flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50018

Consultee

Title Councillor

First Name James

Surname Downes

Position

Organisation Leverington Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50018-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50018-9 i) Yes ii) No

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50018-10 By consulting parish councils who have local knowledge.

50119

Consultee

Title

First Name Maxim

Surname Emmons

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50119-6 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50119-7 Something between the two but veering towards i).

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50127

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Valerie

Name

Surname Emmons

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50127-7 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50127-8 i)

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50127-9 Chatteris Town Council want to extend the current settlement boundary, with the sole intention of facilitating more building. I believe such a boundary should focus on preserving the character of each area - one of the glories of the British countryside is the local variations in architecture. Focussing on extending to build new homes - which all look the same - can only be detrimental.

50076

Consultee

Agent

Title Mrs

Title

First Name D

Name

Surname Evans

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50076-7 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50076-8 I a hard boundary

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50076-9 The A142 should be a guide to Chatteris Boundaries

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50100

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Susanah

Name

Surname Farmer

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50100-8 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50100-9 Flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50100-10 Flexible and any schemes taken on merit

50031

Consultee

Agent

Title Mr

Title

First Name Colum

Name

Surname Fitzsimons

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation Cambridgeshire County Council

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50031-5 Settlement boundaries ensure that development can be targeted in the most appropriate locations and prevent sprawl particularly in some of the more linear settlements in the district.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50114

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Foster Property Developments Ltd

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Matt

Hare

Carter Jonas LLP

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50114-7 Yes provided the settlement boundaries are defined appropriately and sufficient allocations are identified within and on the edge of settlements to meet development needs.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50114-8 It is considered that there are merits in both approaches. A defined hard boundary is the normal approach adopted in Local Plans. However, the recently adopted Huntingdonshire Local Plan allows for development on the edge of settlements but well related to the urban areas – see Policies LP8 and LP9 of the Huntingdonshire Local Plan 2019. It should be noted that the flexible approach used in Huntingdonshire is in conjunction with a strategy that also allocates development sites within and on the edge of settlements to meet identified needs.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50101

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Roger

Name

Surname George

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50101-8 Yes, settlement boundaries should be re-introduced and stop the drift of development onto farmland and beyond existing boundaries.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50101-9 Hard boundaries. (But I think the majority of planned new development should be via a totally new village, although not sure of best location).

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50101-10 I think they should be relatively tight around existing development. Growth in villages and towns should essentially be infill.

50061

Consultee

Agent

Title

Title

First Name Dave

Name

Surname Gibbs

Surname

Position

Position

Organisation

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50061-4 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50061-5 A hard boundary is the only viable option. A flexible boundary that allows development both within and without isn't a boundary at all.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50061-6 You shouldn't (unless you live in a particular community). This is a matter for Parish Councils. They are the elected leaders of their communities.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50065

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Dave
Surname Gibbs
Position

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

Organisation Tydd St Giles Parish Council

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50065-1 The Parish Council supports the re-introduction of settlement boundaries.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50065-2 A hard boundary is preferred.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50065-3 The existing continuous built form of the village.

50032

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Iain
Surname Green
Position

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

Organisation Cambridgeshire County Council (Publi

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50032-8 Any changes to the settlement boundaries should preserve access to green and open space. Additional housing in a small village may make services more sustainable.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50032-9 The policy should support a flexible approach, the criteria use to judge whether a development is sustainable should include "access to health services".

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50032-10 Public Health have no views on how settlement boundaries are determined or where they should go.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50080

Consultee

Title

First Name M J

Surname Hawkins

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50080-6 Yes. I never saw the sense in removing them.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50080-7 Prefer ii)

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50080-8 Essentially the existing built area but with extensions where land is suitable for development (see comments about flooding risk above) and development is sustainable.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50033

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Mark
Surname Hemment
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50033-6 yes

**4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?**

50033-7 A hard boundary. A flexible policy would lead to confusion unless the sustainability criteria are well defined in advance. Instead, consider the sustainability criteria when forming the new Plan and use this to set hard boundaries. This will give a clear set of boundaries and guidance to developers.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50033-8 For settlements with a bypass, there is an applied assumption that the bypass creates the hard boundary to development. Applying this assumption allows developments to consume what green space remains on the edge of towns. This leads to local communities having to cross busy roads, which is dangerous (especially with young children) to reach a natural green space – that's assuming there is green space on the other side. In many towns, such as Chatteris, the 'other side' is mainly agricultural land. Settlement boundaries should be used to protect the accessible natural green space on the edge of towns. Wenny Road Meadow in Chatteris is an excellent example of a natural space, between the town and a bypass (A142), which should be protected by a settlement boundary.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50012

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name M Sadie
Surname Heritage
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50012-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50012-9 I think special effort should be made for those wishing to develop outside of the boundaries. This would challenge developers and encourage them to respect boundaries

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50128

Consultee

Title
First Name Stephen
Surname Hodson
Position
Organisation Hodsons

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50128-6 Yes, the present free for all has expanded development into quality agricultural land beyond village [facilities/limits].

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50128-7 ii)

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50128-8 Boundaries should be set after consultation with locals.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50112

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|----------|--------------|--|
| Title | CLlr | Title | |
| First Name | Samantha | Name | |
| Surname | Hoy | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | FDC/WTC | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50112-7 yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50112-8 flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50112-9 should follow an obvious line or progression

50003

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | Ms | Title | |
| First Name | Ruth | Name | |
| Surname | Huften | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | Doddington Parish Council | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50003-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50003-9 I would support policy 4bi. With a hard border for the village settlement plus a flexible boundary for. A designated additional area outside the village border. The sustainability criteria are most important.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50056

Consultee

Title Ms
First Name Shanna
Surname Jackson
Position Chartered Town Planner
Organisation Swann Edwards Architecture Limited

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50056-7 No, the current plan which does not have settlement boundaries is arguably more supportive of development than development area boundaries which can be more restrictive. However the current situation is that there is too much ambiguity in the policy and therefore it would seem that planning permission is often withheld unnecessarily.

We would suggest that rather than having settlement boundaries, areas similar to green belts are introduced to clarify where development should not go but still allowing for flexibility for growth.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50056-8 A flexible policy would be more appropriate for the reasons given in 4a (above).

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50056-9 See second part of 4a (above). These areas could be between settlements to, for example, prevent merging or to clarify where the Council consider is open countryside.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50069

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Simon
Surname King
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50069-5 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50069-6 ii)

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50069-7 Consultation with Parish Councils

50125

Consultee

Title
First Name
Surname Kings Dyke Business Park Ltd
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title Mr
Name Ed
Surname Durrant
Position
Organisation Pegasus Group

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50125-7 Settlement boundaries can sometimes prevent new housing and employment development in sustainable locations. A more holistic approach to where development should be located should be taken based on the principles of sustainable development with the parallel aim of preventing the spread of urban uses into the countryside unless there are other material considerations that would tip the planning balance in favour of the development sites in the countryside.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50125-8 As above. There needs to be flexibility for the decision makers to take into account wider material planning considerations, such as sustainability, when considering the acceptability of development proposals.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50079

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Peter
Surname Lankfer
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title Mr
Name Tom
Surname Nellist
Position
Organisation Trundley Design

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50079-8 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50079-9 Flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50079-10 Local consultation

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50116

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Lifecrown Investments

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Jacob

Lawrence

Geraint John Planning

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50116-3 The Council is consulting upon two options regarding the review of settlement boundaries and the current flexible approach of no settlement boundaries. The reintroduction of settlement boundaries would be supported in order to define the settlement limits of the towns and villages included within the Local Plan.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50116-4 Our preference is Option ii) which comprises 'a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met'. This type of policy would provide a settlement boundary of the settlements which will not be strictly controlled and therefore will allow certain forms of development to come forward. This is a beneficial policy as it will future proof the Local Plan by allowing adjoining sites to come forward to accommodate changing development needs.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50116-5 With regards to the market town of March, we have proposed a settlement boundary which provides a logical rounding off of the settlement whilst also including parcels of land to allow for the growth and expansion of March to meet the current and future needs of the settlement. This proposed settlement boundary has been provided in Appendix B (see main attachment)

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50095

Consultee

Title

First Name Barrie

Surname Luck

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50095-7 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50095-8 hard boundary

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50095-9 Too big a question, other than to say that the boundaries should limit sprawl.

50089

Consultee

Title

First Name Karen

Surname Luck

Position

Organisation Romain

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50089-6 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50089-7 ii) because hard and fast rules could prevent as yet unforeseen opportunities.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50044

Consultee

Title Ms
First Name Debbie
Surname Mack
Position Historic Environment Planning Adviser
Organisation Historic England

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50044-3 If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, we would still expect site allocations to be clearly demarcated in the Plan (even if they lie within settlement boundaries) to provide transparency and clarity on the Plan.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50044-4 In determining settlement boundaries, it is important that careful consideration is given to the need to prevent erosion of the countryside, prevent encroachment and coalescence of communities in order to maintain their distinct historic settlement pattern and identity.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50035

Consultee

Title Mr

First Name Tim

Surname Marks

Position

Organisation MVV Environment Ltd

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50035-3 Yes, providing 1) the site mentioned in 4(c) is included within Wisbech Settlement Boundary 2) land identified in the adopted plan under Policy LP8 Wisbech 'South Wisbech (broad location for growth)' is within Wisbech Settlement Boundary

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50035-4 Either, providing the sites mentioned in 4(a) are considered to be within the development envelope for Wisbech

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50035-5 The location and extent of the waste facility can be seen on the accompanying plan. Occupying land within an industrial estate, the site is located within Wisbech's development envelope, whether or not of the Council adopts formal Settlement Boundaries as part of its emerging plan. For the avoidance of doubt, we request the Council confirm this is the case, and should formal Settlement Boundaries be adopted, this site is included within Wisbech's.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50038

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ian
Surname Mason
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50038-7 Yes. Hard boundaries for green open spaces to protect them for the future are essential. For instance the only remaining parkland area in Chatteris, the Wenny Road meadow.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50042

Consultee

Title
First Name Jane Ann
Surname Mason
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50042-6 I certainly would.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50042-7 A hard boundary would remove the uncertainty of never knowing whether or not a much loved green space will be developed in the future. A hard boundary would ensure that the land within the boundary is at a premium and that only responsible builders providing good quality housing could afford to build. We should insist that developers are brought up to a desirable standard, instead of allowing standards to be brought down to what the developer wants.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50042-8 The Wenny Road Meadow, Chatteris (or Manor Park as it was once known) should form part of the settlement boundary to the east of the town. The meadow should be preserved.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50074

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Geoffrey Mathias | Name | |
| Surname | Mathias | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50074-7 NO, FAR TOO RESTRICTIVE

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50073

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Title | Mr | Title | |
| First Name | John | Name | |
| Surname | Maxey | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | Maxey Grounds | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50073-8 Yes. The current criteria introduces too much subjectivity and uncertainty to the development control process and decision making has been inconsistent under the current criteria

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50073-9 ii) a boundary line with a presumption in favour within and a limited flexibility outside for exception sites. If the boundary is drawn appropriately it should include the majority of areas where infill arguments could be made and supported, and sufficient opportunity within the boundary to meet demand

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50073-10 They should include the built framework of the village including infill opportunities around the periphery, and specific expansion allocations such that the scale of growth determined for the village can be accommodated on land known to be available. At this stage the Council should consider the call for sites submissions analyse and from this publish an options plan for further consultation and comment

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50129

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name J
Surname Melton
Position
Organisation Chatteris Town Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50129-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50129-9 A flexible policy.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50129-10 Please see attached map.

50126

Consultee

Title
First Name Alan
Surname Melton
Position
Organisation Manea Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50126-8 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50126-9 i) Flexible policy

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50126-10 See enclosed map for Manea.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50021

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Elizabeth | Name | |
| Surname | Mugova | Surname | |
| Position | Sustainable Places Planning Advisor | Position | |
| Organisation | Environment Agency | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50021-2 We support the creation of settlement boundaries, especially if flood risk mapping is used to define the settlement boundaries. This will allow for a more flexible approach within the settlement boundaries and a more rigorous approach outside of it.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50021-3 Question 4bii We recommend that flood risk is included as a sustainability criteria.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50051

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | Mr | Title | |
| First Name | E | Name | |
| Surname | Murat | Surname | |
| Position | Parish Council Clerk | Position | |
| Organisation | Parson Drove Parish Council | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50051-3 Yes we would.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50051-4 We consider that the supporting policy should set a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50051-5 Ask the relevant Parish Councils. We will provide you with a map indicating our proposals for the settlement boundary for Parson Drove and Church End at a later date as the 6 week consultation period is insufficient time to complete this.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50015

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Geoff
Surname Newham
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50015-8 Yes, Definitely.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50015-9 In favour of strict policies.
There would have to be a very strong, specifically localised sustainable need to step outside these policies.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50015-10 Tydd St Giles relied on the 'development envelope' as it was widely known. And simply meant the last house in each road as it left the village. Agricultural land, which is all Class 1 (the highest, according to Government sources) is not available for consideration.

50011

Consultee

Title
First Name Alex
Surname Patrick
Position
Organisation Alexandra Design

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50011-6 Yes it is more clear cut and not up for interpretation of the planning officers, it is clearly defined for all, developers, architects and for FDC itself

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50011-7 Flexible approach as facilities/schools infrastructure could benefit in certain areas. Travelling families should be allowed in locations or their individual needs.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50040

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name J
Surname Richardson
Position
Organisation Benwick Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50040-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50040-9 Flexible policy was thought to be best.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50040-10 The settlement boundary should be agreed with the Parish Council.

50082

Consultee

Title
First Name Bryan
Surname Rose
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50082-8 Yes I would support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50082-9 I believe these should be hard boundaries. We need to preserve our countryside and protect or wildlife. Further we will not be remove our natural defences against environmental conditions.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50082-10 Boundaries of villages are already defined, by signs or local knowledge. Defining boundaries should be the responsibilities of local representatives following consultation with the local inhabitants, not set by large remote councils.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50094

Consultee

Title

First Name Gerald

Surname Seabrook

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50094-3 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50094-4 4ii

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50075

Consultee

Title

First Name Pam

Surname Shippey

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50075-4 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:
i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or
ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50075-5 A flexible policy provided sustainability criteria are met.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50043

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Tim | Name | |
| Surname | Slater | Surname | |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | Peter Humphrey Associates | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50043-6 Yes, to reintroducing settlement boundaries- this provides clarity and certainty in relation to development advice and the application of policies.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50043-7 Softer boundary that could allow certain development adjacent to the settlement boundary subject to criteria based policies.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50043-8 In defining settlement boundaries, it is important that the LPA carry out proper assessments and site visits to prevent non sensical plotting that does not reflect the reality of a settlement.

Is the boundary to separate the village from the open countryside? - and if so, will it incorporate domestic gardens- as they are not open countryside, but not part of the built form of the village. In reality most settlements have soft edges with gardens and paddocks separation the village form from the 'real' open countryside.

If the dev boundary is drawn tightly around the built form (excluding gardens) how will new applications be dealt with that have the built form within the dev boundary but gardens that extend beyond the dev boundary?
What will the approach be to paddocks?

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50057

Consultee

Title

First Name Tim

Surname Slater

Position

Organisation Peter Humphrey Associates

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50057-1 Yes, providing
1) The site mentioned in 4(c) is included within Wisbech's Settlement Boundary

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50057-2 Either, providing the site mentioned in 4(a) are considered to be within the development envelop for Wisbech

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50057-3 Waste Transfer Station, Algores Way, Wisbech
Land Registry Title Number Title Number CB250067

The location and extent of the waste facility can be seen on the accompanying plan. Occupying land within an industrial estate, the site is located within Wisbech's development envelop, regardless or not of the Council adopting formal Settlement Boundaries as part of its emerging plan. For the avoidance of doubt, we request the Council confirm this is the case, and should formal Settlement Boundaries be adopted, this site is included within Wisbech's?

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50026

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Jonathan
Surname Stone
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50026-8 The CCG believes that any changes to the settlement boundaries should preserve access to green and open space which contributes to healthy living. Any changes to settlement boundaries should be supported by adequate infrastructure and public transport to ensure all local people have access to all local services

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50026-9 The CCG believes in a flexible policy but the sustainability criteria must include residents/patients being able to access health services.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50026-10 The CCG has no view on this

50085

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Robert
Surname Taylor
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50085-8 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50085-9 Flexible

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50085-10 The current boundary for Benwick should be retained, in-fill where land is available

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50088

Consultee

Title

First Name Richard

Surname Tester

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50088-8 Yes, very much so. These boundaries keep a clear distinction between villages and towns. They also give the public, parish and town councils, developers and planning departments some idea of what will be accepted in the way of planning, and what won't even be considered. This should stop some of the planning applications which keep on appealing until it is eventually accepted as a 'fete a complis' by planning departments, which seem to be a regular occurrence in recent years across the UK.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50088-9 Definitely i) with hard boundary and strict policies. If you allow a flexible approach then no-one will know what is acceptable and who is going to decide on the criteria? Recent events make me very much against leaving the door open to interpretation by individuals who, by human nature, can be manipulated to make decisions which are not in the District's interest.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50088-10 Settlement boundaries should, wherever possible be where the bulk of the current developments end. There are lots of areas within the current properties which could be infilled without any impact on the area. For instance, large properties on large plots could easily have a further property built within the grounds. In the past these types of applications have seemed to be frowned upon by planners, but with the cost of upkeep of old large properties different thinking is required to let these developments happen otherwise these very large properties will just be left to crumble. In villages there should be a restriction on recommending agricultural fields for development where they are on the outskirts of current development. This only makes the rural areas spread out ever further with still no more employment, etc. The Tydd St Giles Parish Council, at a Public Meeting last week, have said they have decided to recommend a field for development which in the past has been turned down for development. There are flooding issues and also transport, huge ongoing sewerage issues, traffic concerns, etc, etc. The suggestion of up to 25 houses on the field did cause a stir at the meeting and, other than councillors, not one person in the meeting agreed with the proposal. Hopefully the Parish Council will review their proposal and put forward a site within the current development area. There is an area in the centre of the village which the owner is reputed to want to develop. This land is bounded by the back gardens of houses on the surrounding roads and therefore no-one would have to look at new housing through the front of their properties. The area is also bigger so could accommodate the 25 houses easily. Why the Council haven't recommended this site is a mystery to the residents. The two sites are on the file below (red is Parish Council preference as put forward at Public Meeting on 30 October 2019 and green area is that which a lot of the residents would accept as it wouldn't expand the village further to the South)

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50120

Consultee

Title

First Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

This Land Limited

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

Kimberley

Brown MRTPI

Carter Jonas LLP

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50120-7

Yes provided the settlement boundaries are defined appropriately and sufficient allocations are identified within and on the edge of settlements to meet development needs.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in:

i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or

ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50120-8

It is considered that there are merits in both approaches. A defined hard boundary is the normal approach adopted in Local Plans. However, the recently adopted Huntingdonshire Local Plan allows for development on the edge of settlements but well related to the urban areas – see Policies LP8 and LP9. It should be noted that the flexible approach used in Huntingdonshire is in conjunction with a strategy that also allocates development sites within and on the edge of settlements to meet identified needs.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50034

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Title | | Title | |
| First Name | Katie | Name | |
| Surname | Thornley | Surname | |
| Position | Senior Finance Manager | Position | |
| Organisation | Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Sus | Organisation | |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50034-8 We see no reason which would require the re-introduction of settlement boundaries, however it is important to the STP that developments happen within areas that have health provision coverage.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50034-9 We believe that it is important to be able to apply policy flexibly, based on the individual merits of a case and therefore we would advocated for a 4)b)ii) .

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50034-10 We are not aware of any particular settlement boundaries that are required.

50084

| Consultee | | Agent | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Title | | Title | Mr |
| First Name | | Name | Simon |
| Surname | Triman Developments (UK) Limited an | Surname | Machen |
| Position | | Position | |
| Organisation | | Organisation | Barmach Ltd |

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50084-8 Yes, they provide certainty to landowners and developers as well as to the Council and local communities.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50084-9 A flexible policy with criteria.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50084-10 They should be agreed in consultation with local communities and landowners/developers.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50014

Consultee

Title

First Name Nolan

Surname Tucker

Position

Organisation Deloitte

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50014-7 No as this could limit the amount of sustainable development as land outside of settlement boundaries will be classified as 'countryside' and different policies will apply. 4b

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50014-8 A flexible policy that could allow for development which adjoins the settlement boundary provided a number of sustainability criteria are met. This sustainability criteria should include criteria such as accessibility to sustainable modes of transport, affordable housing requirements and open space requirements.
4c

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50014-9 Consideration should be given to the most sustainable approach to meeting the district's housing requirements which is likely to require sustainable urban extensions and any settlement boundaries must be drawn with this in mind

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50030

Consultee

Title

First Name Kate

Surname Waller

Position

Organisation Elm Parish Council

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50030-8 The Council supports this initiative.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50030-9 The Council supports hard boundaries to maintain the identity of existing village settlements

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50030-10 The Council's preference would be for the re-establishment of the original boundaries, which historically were defined by natural boundaries, junctions or green corridors between the villages

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50024

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Lawrence
Surname Weetman
Position Chairman
Organisation Chatteris Past, Present & Future

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50024-8 We think that settlement boundaries are a good idea.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50024-9 We think that settlement boundaries, once set, should be adhered to strictly.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50024-10 We think that the settlement boundaries should be set with some consideration of the character of the town and its origins.

We think that the boundaries should take into account the fact that Chatteris was once an island for a good reason! We think that settlement boundaries should take into account the altitude of land above sea level and the contours of the land.

We also think that buffer zones between the boundary and the bypass are important when setting boundaries, as these would help to maintain the character of the town and ensure a better quality of life for residents

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50001

Consultee

Title

First Name Alan

Surname Wheeldon

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Position

Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50001-8 Definitely. New developments should be stand alone satellite mini towns with their own facilities so as not to overburden the current established ones.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50001-9 A hard boundary otherwise you get urban sprawl where over time towns merge and the whole area just turns into a giant housing estate.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50001-10 As a rough guide 2 miles diameter for market towns, Growth villages and limited growth villages, limited growth villages 1 mile dia. And small villages 0.5 mile dia. The shape of each town/village would of course be determined by their current size/shape and where suitable land is for development but these sizes could be seen as a guide.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50107

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ray
Surname Whitwell
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50107-7 Yes.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50107-8 I think there should be a hard boundary. In this way both residents and developers would know exactly where they stand.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50107-9 Settlement boundaries should be determined in consultations with local residents, including Town & Parish councils.

50108

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name Ray
Surname Whitwell
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50108-8 Yes, I think there should be settlement boundaries.

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50108-9 I support a hard boundary so that residents and potential developers know where they stand.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50108-10 Settlement boundaries should be determined by the local residents, including town/parish councils.

Question 4: Settlement Boundaries

50048

Consultee

Title Mrs
First Name Pat
Surname Wilkinson
Position
Organisation Newton-in-the-Isle Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50048-7 Yes

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50048-8 Hard Boundary - see map of Newton in the Isle village submitted separately by email.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50048-9 Map of Newton in the Isle village submitted separately by email.

50047

Consultee

Title
First Name Geoff
Surname Wilkinson
Position
Organisation Wisbech St Mary Parish Council

Agent

Title
Name
Surname
Position
Organisation

4a) Would you support the re-introduction of settlement boundaries?

50047-4 No

4b) If the Plan includes settlement boundaries, should the supporting policy result in: i) a hard boundary with strict policies that limit development outside of the boundary? or ii) a flexible policy that could allow development which adjoins the settlement boundary, provided a number of sustainability criteria are met?

50047-5 Needs to be flexible.

4c) If the Plan is to include settlement boundaries, how should we go about determining where they go? You may even want to send us a map of where you think the boundary should go, for any settlement you have an interest in.

50047-6 See maps