

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Melanie	Name
Surname	Atwell	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50103

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50103-5 I agree that settlement hierarchy policy is important

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Hilary	Name
Surname	Bailey	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50039

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50039-5 A settlement hierarchy is useful if it takes into account all the relevant factors for considering development.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50039-6 It is not clear what factors lie behind the existing labels, but to me, places should be clustered according to the following criteria:

- Access to public transport – with railway provision ranked highest
- Access to local green space
- Suitable space for development which does not compromise existing green space

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50039-7 Chatteris should be moved down because of its lack of public transport provision and acknowledged deficiency in natural green space.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Serena	Name
Surname	Bailey	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50007

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50007-5 It makes sense to have an easy way to refer to the different types of settlement, but it must be understood that different town under the same type (i.e. "market towns") will differ greatly, so individual consideration into what will best suit those communities remains crucial.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50007-6 There is a danger that directly proportionate settlement growth towns will be forced to expand beyond the capacity of their existing services, and it must be ensured that towns retain the service capacity and resources which benefit everybody like outdoor green spaces for both the newcomers and original residents.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50007-7 I am not informed enough on this issue to offer valuable feedback.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Bryan	Name
Surname	Baker	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50046

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50046-5 Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50046-6 No

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50046-7 Only for Leverington do we have any knowledge.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Kris	Name	
Surname	Baxter	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Studio 11 Architecture	Organisation	

50111

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50111-2 Agree that a settlement hierarchy should exist but consideration should be given to providing a proportion of development in all settlements.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50111-3 No

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50111-4 No.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name	Sharon, Patricia Joyce and Melanie	Name	Richard
Surname	Bester, Whittlesey and Curl - C/O NYC	Surname	Mowat
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Johnson Mowat

50117

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50117-4 A settlement hierarchy is vital to directing development to suitable locations.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50117-5 We are content that Wimblington is identified as a growth village. However a more ambitious policy for housing growth should be aimed at development in growth villages (by definition).

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Lee	Name	
Surname	Bevens	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	L Bevens Associates Architects Ltd	Organisation	

50086

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50086-5 I disagree. I believe that it the policy is too restrictive but accept that often the smaller towns and villages do not always have the infrastructure and facilities but unless investment takes place in the villages then they will become future ghost towns and local shops and pubs will close because of the lack of housing numbers to support them.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50086-6 See comments above. It is too restrictive and development in the smaller villages is too prescriptive.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50086-7 I believe that with their reasonable transport links and connectivity to nearby facilities that Leverington, Benwick, Coates and Eastrea should be moved up in categories to allow future investment and better growth.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Robert	Name	
Surname	Boddington	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50122

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50122-5 I agree, small villages should stay as small settlements. Road systems and services are not sustainable.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50122-6 No

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50122-7 No

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title	Councillor	Title
First Name	Gavin	Name
Surname	Booth	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Parson Drove Parish Council	Organisation

50106

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50106-4 Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50106-5 No

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50106-6 Guyhirn moved up one level, it has good public transport links and services.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Ted	Name
Surname	Brand	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Brand Associates Architects	Organisation

50029

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50029-3 Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50029-4 More development is needed in the villages to support or encourage local facilities

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50029-5 Benwick and tydd st giles, these both have some facilities and are not far from Market Towns

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Fiona	Name
Surname	Bryan	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50090

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50090-5 I disagree with the policy. In my view, the number of houses should be determined on a number of criteria such as road infrastructure; numbers of current and potential cars/lorries etc passing through; public transport facilities; number and range of shops; number of doctors'/dentists'/banks etc and entertainment facilities available to existing and potential populations.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50090-6 Chatteris is nowhere near the size of the other market towns and equally, has absolutely no facilities to offer existing, never mind new residents. Chatteris should be considered between the growth village and market town.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50090-7 I don't know about the other places. To my mind, Chatteris should be moved down. However, I think this broad brush approach is not sympathetic to the different places that people live.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Nicole	Name
Surname	Burnett	Surname
Position	Senior Planner	Position
Organisation	Gladman	Organisation

50060

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50060-4 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50060-5 Gladman support the Council in the inclusion of a settlement hierarchy within the FLP. However, it needs to be remembered that this should just be used as a guide to assist in the growth strategy and the location of development and that this should not be the sole determining factor. The settlement hierarchy needs to look holistically at the settlements across Fenland and ensure that their roles and functions are considered in the round and not through just through a crude scoring system based on availability of facilities and services.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Andrew	Name	
Surname	Burrell	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50083

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50083-5 Agree but it needs to be much more stringent on the development of Small Villages

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50083-6 Tydd St Giles should be set at the smallest village category with no requirement to build any houses under the plan at all, and more restrictions put on developers trying to take character away from Fenland Villages

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	C	Name	
Surname	Burrell	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50070

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50070-1 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Adrian	Name	
Surname	Cannard	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Com	Organisation	

50022

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50022-5 Although no preference is expressed, a settlement hierarchy policy does have the benefit of assisting in prioritising infrastructure improvements.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name		Name	Andrew
Surname	Cannon Kirk	Surname	Hodgson
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Pegasus Group

50027

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50027-5 Agree. However, this should be a flexible approach which allows for sustainable growth in all areas of the District in order to achieve the challenging housing target.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50027-6 No the settlement hierarchy is logical.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50027-7 n/a

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	James	Name	
Surname	Carney	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50066

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50066-5 Agree.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50066-6 Wisbech and March are perceived as having far more 'new' services and facilities whereas it would be far more agreeable for Chatteris and Whittlesey to also have a fair chance to host these.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50066-7 It might be sensible to consider the larger villages to be moved up the hierarchy to potentially spread the location of facilities/services across the district. Examples could include Wisbech St Mary, Parson Drove, Manea, Gorefield, Wimblington.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Matthew
Surname	Clifton Homes Ltd	Surname	Kendrick
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Grass Roots Planning

50115

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50115-3 We agree that FDC should have a settlement hierarchy policy. This should provide a clear set of objectives and apportion housing need, to guide future applicants and decision-makers. Without this, there is no clear guidance which establishes what level of development is expected to come forward within different settlements.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50115-4 We agree with the existing settlement hierarchy set out and do not consider there to be a need to include additional categories. We assume as part of any revised settlement hierarchy that a review of existing facilities and services will be undertaken to ensure that respective settlements are designated within the correct part of the hierarchy.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50115-5 We do not consider that any villages should be moved up or down the hierarchy. In particular, Doddington should remain as a 'Growth Village', anticipated to deliver development to improve its self-containment and provide additional footfall to support existing facilities and services.

3.4 Doddington contains a post office / convenience store, pubs, church, take-away fish and chip bar, village hall, hairdressers, off licence, one-stop shop, hospital, primary school and beauty salon. There is therefore a wider range of services available within the settlement which makes it a sustainable location for development, including housing growth.

3.5 After the three main towns, Doddington is one of the best-placed settlements to deliver adequate housing growth and should be retained in its current position within the hierarchy.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	John	Name
Surname	Covill	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50067

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50067-5 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50067-6 Seems fair.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Mark	Name
Surname	Deas	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Cambridgeshire ACRE	Organisation

50099

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50099-1 A settlement hierarchy policy is a useful planning tool. It guides development to the most sustainable locations. However, it should not be used to impose blanket 'no-go' areas. Even in the smallest communities there may be opportunities for sustainable development.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50099-2 The existing hierarchy is sufficiently detailed. Further levels would add unnecessary complications.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50099-3 Cambridgeshire ACRE has no view on this. The role of each village should be agreed between Fenland DC and the relevant parish council.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Charlotte	Name
Surname	Dew	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Larkfleet Homes	Organisation

50124

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50124-4 Yes, to ensure development is located in the most sustainable locations.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50124-5 Larkfleet homes agree with the current existing settlement hierarchy.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50124-6 No changes are required to the hierarchy.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Anne	Name
Surname	Dew	Surname
Position	Development Planning Manager - East	Position
Organisation	Persimmon Homes	Organisation

50121

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50121-5 Agree.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50121-6 Persimmon Homes support the current settlement hierarchy.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50121-7 No

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Lesley	Name
Surname	Dorling	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50009

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50009-4 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50009-5 Existing hierarchy ok

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50009-6 No change

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Eamonn	Name
Surname	Dorling	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50010

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50010-5 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50010-6 Agree BUT a Market Town could also be a dormitory town to support (for example) Peterborough.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50010-7 No

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title	Councillor	Title
First Name	James	Name
Surname	Downes	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Leverington Parish Council	Organisation

50018

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50018-5 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50018-6 Follow present policy format.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50018-7 Leverington as Growth Village (alter boundary to include rest of north side of Leverington Road from West End Inn along to include [Burerset] Road and West Parade to square of area.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Maxim	Name
Surname	Emmons	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50119

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50119-3 Chatteris is no longer a 'market town'. More like a limited growth village. What does the hierarchy achieve?

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50119-4 The categories should be based on services provided such as school places, transport services, sufficiency of medical facilities, infrastructures and their development, local businesses and business expansion, population.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50119-5 See above.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Valerie	Name
Surname	Emmons	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50127

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50127-5 Overall, yes.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50127-6 I don't think Chatteris should be lumped in with the other three Market Towns. Numerically it is a Market Town, but it no longer has a station (unlike the others) and is inevitably a less attractive option in a town with minimal public transport for people who need to travel to and from work, or have the desire to travel to other towns for leisure or cultural purposes.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mrs	Title
First Name	D	Name
Surname	Evans	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50076

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50076-4 agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50076-5 yes those with access to the Railway should be higher on the hierarchy list

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50076-6 Manea as it has access to the railway

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Susanah	Name
Surname	Farmer	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50100

50100-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

No, take each and any scheme on merit.

50100-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Should be flexible.

50100-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

Should be flexible.

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	Colum	Name
Surname	Fitzsimons	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Cambridgeshire County Council	Organisation

50031

50031-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

The County Council has no comments to make in respect of the current hierarchy. It is noted that a Settlement Hierarchy Study will be prepared as part of the Local Plan evidence base. The County Council will wish to be consulted in the preparation of this study.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name		Name	Matt
Surname	Foster Property Developments Ltd	Surname	Hare
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Carter Jonas LLP

50114

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50114-4 It is agreed that there should be a settlement hierarchy policy in the emerging Local Plan, since this approach identifies those settlements which have a good range of services and facilities and are accessible by a range of modes of transport.

The settlement hierarchy would inform decisions about the scale and location of new development, and would seek to ensure that development is directed to the most sustainable settlements. However, the settlement hierarchy is not the only factor, and environmental and other constraints and the capacity of existing settlements will also determine future growth patterns.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50114-5 The existing settlement hierarchy identifies settlements according to their size, the range of facilities they contain, and their accessibility by a range of modes of transport. It is considered that the current settlement categories is appropriate, and no amendments are required.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50114-6 March and Wisbech are the largest and most sustainable settlements in Fenland, and it is appropriate for these settlements to be identified as market towns at the top of the settlement hierarchy.

There is a case for Leverington to be reclassified as a Growth Village because it contains a similar range of facilities to Wisbech St Mary which is currently defined as a Growth Village. Facilities include; a village store, primary school, sports facilities, village hall, public houses and employment facilities. In addition Leverington is located in very close proximity of Wisbech and a number of key services and facilities within the town centre are accessible by sustainable means from Leverington.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Roger	Name
Surname	George	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50101

50101-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
I think this is sensible but needs to be alongside a flexible approach to planning. Perhaps the smallest villages should now be growth villages and the largest should be left alone.

50101-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

See 3a). Categories are okay but villages need to change between them.

50101-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

See 3a).

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Dave	Name
Surname	Gibbs	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50061

50061-1 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
Broadly speaking, I agree.

50061-2 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

There should be more categories. Shoe horning every settlement into such a limited number of categories fails to recognise the different nature and circumstances of our settlements.

50061-3 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

The small villages are being consigned to the scrapheap. They should be allowed to grow, if they so wish. Coldham could be transformed by the return of a rail connection.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Iain	Name	
Surname	Green	Surname	
Position	Senior Public Health Officer	Position	
Organisation	Cambridgeshire County Council (Publi	Organisation	

50032

50032-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
There should be a settlement hierarchy, however the settlement hierarchy should be flexible enough to allow services to be delivered to support growth in the most sustainable and accessible locations, therefore it might be appropriate for smaller settlement hierarchies (other villages and smaller villages) to provide community and health infrastructure

50032-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Public Health have no views on the existing settlement hierarchy.

50032-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

Public Health have no views on which town/village are in each settlement hierarchy.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	M J	Name	
Surname	Hawkins	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50080

50080-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
A hierarchy is appropriate.

50080-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Attention must be given to suitability of land for development, especially the risk of flooding. The towns particularly, as they will take the bulk of development, should be assessed for the amount of land that meets the criteria, particularly those laid down by the Environment Agency.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Mark	Name	
Surname	Hemment	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50033

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50033-4 Agree there should be a settlement hierarchy. It should focus on multiple factors to ensure growth occurs where it can be sustained without development on green sites. Brown sites should dominate strategic allocations of land for new homes and jobs. Availability of natural green space should also be considered when deciding the scale and location of new developments. Towns, such as Chatteris, which are lacking in this type of space should not lose the few natural spaces they have to reach an unrealistic housing target.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50033-5 Market towns which have strong public transport links, such as a railway station, should be in a higher category than other market towns and therefore have a higher housing target. Market towns, such as Chatteris, without a station and which have limited natural green space should have these areas excluded from strategic allocations and the housing target adjusted accordingly.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mrs	Title	
First Name	M Sadie	Name	
Surname	Heritage	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50012

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50012-5 Yes as it will focus 'the poweres that be'

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50012-6 More villages should be included

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50012-7 ?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Stephen	Name
Surname	Hodson	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Hodsons	Organisation

50128

50128-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
Yes

50128-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**
Correct

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title	CLlr	Title
First Name	Samantha	Name
Surname	Hoy	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	FDC/WTC	Organisation

50112

50112-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
agree

50112-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**
too many categories should be 3 - towns, growth villages and limited growth villages

50112-6 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**
all villages in the current bottom 2 categories should be moved up to limited growth villages

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Ms	Title	
First Name	Ruth	Name	
Surname	Huften	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Doddington Parish Council	Organisation	

50003

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50003-5 I guess it is the easiest method of allocation of the numbers required, but this is not always a thought through Policy. I think that it is a misnomer that the biggest villages should be made to grow further whilst some of the smaller hamlets could and should be allocated small developments that do not face them with coalition.

People seem to wish to live in rural areas with the pleasure of wide open spaces and great views, and to make the larger villages take on more development reduces this and makes coalition with other settlements more likely

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50003-6 I think that there should be no categories. Why not identify areas where growth could be achieved Throughout Fenland, whether town, village or brownfield/greenfield, and look at what numbers could be achieved through this.
The current allocation system puts too much pressure on all areas, and allows developers carte blanche to build in inappropriate places and against the wishes of the people who live nearby.
I guess that this would be like a neighbourhood development plan for Fenland, but with the input of possible sites from each settlement.
This would surely make the planning so much easier, and enable any 106 monies to be allocated for projects to benefit the area near the development, by detailing them in the planning consent.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50003-7 Please see the above comment.
I do believe that Growth Villages should be given a rest and moved to Limited Growth villages, if current settlement hierarchy is used. This would give PC's and parishioners time to deliver ideas about further development without the pressure of having to do it without relevant thought..

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Ms	Title	
First Name	Shanna	Name	
Surname	Jackson	Surname	
Position	Chartered Town Planner	Position	
Organisation	Swann Edwards Architecture Limited	Organisation	

50056

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50056-4 Agree that there should be a settlement hierarchy.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50056-5 There should be a category for linked settlements, recognising that some settlements act as a satellites Supporting amenities and services in other areas. The current hierarchy does not allow for the growth needed in the smaller settlements to support the larger ones. This would be consistent with paragraph 78 of the NPPF.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50056-6 Guyhirn should be moved up the hierarchy. It has excellent transport links given its proximity to the A47 and has existing amenities and services similar in scale and nature to other settlements in 'Limited Growth Villages'. Additional housing is required to support the existing services for the benefit of existing residents in Guyhirn.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Ed
Surname	Kings Dyke Business Park Ltd	Surname	Durrant
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Pegasus Group

50125

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50125-5 The settlement hierarchy is a useful tool and follows sound planning practices and national policy by focussing growth on the District's larger and more sustainable settlements. Notwithstanding this, the Council should not look to dismiss proposals for growth in or near to smaller settlements, or those not immediately on the edges of larger settlements, especially where such sites would still be well related to larger settlements or on the strategic transport network. Our client's site, to the south of the proposed realigned A605 is located between Whittlesey and Peterborough and as such is within a short distance of the populations of both settlements. Therefore, it's allocation will provide further employment opportunities to meet the needs of existing and future residents in a well-connected and sustainable location.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50125-6 As above, the settlement hierarchy should recognise opportunities for housing and employment growth in smaller settlements where they are well located to larger more sustainable settlements.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	Mr
First Name	Peter	Name	Tom
Surname	Lankfer	Surname	Nellist
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Trundley Design

50079

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50079-5 I agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50079-6 It looks very good

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50079-7 No changes, it needs time to bed in

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name		Name	Jacob
Surname	Lifecrown Investments	Surname	Lawrence
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Geraint John Planning

50116

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50116-1 The consultation document queries the need to include a settlement hierarchy policy within the new Local Plan. We are in agreement that a settlement hierarchy policy should be included within the emerging Local Plan as this will help to distribute development proportionately to the district's settlements. We advise that the Council should not just consider where within the hierarchy a settlement should be positioned, but also what levels of growth are acceptable at such locations. We note in the instance of Limited Growth Villages, that only a limited amount of growth should be considered however a larger proportion of growth is accepted at the Market Towns.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50116-2 We agree with the current settlement hierarchy contained within the 2014 adopted Local Plan (Policy LP3) and as illustrated below (see main attachment). The market towns, particularly March, contain sufficient local services and facilities to serve the needs of the local population and additionally the needs of the surrounding villages. March contains a number of transport facilities including a train station which provides regular services to Birmingham New Street, Stansted Airport, Cambridge and Nottingham. The combination of these existing services, facilities and transport infrastructure ensures March can readily accommodate additional growth in order to meet additional housing needs forecast over the plan period.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Barrie	Name	
Surname	Luck	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50095

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50095-4 Agree

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50095-5 I can't claim detailed knowledge, but it looks about right.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50095-6 d/k

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Karen	Name
Surname	Luck	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Romain	Organisation

50089

50089-5

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

Yes, so long as it doesn't penalise development for villages. Villages need services to connect them to towns and will become disadvantaged without facilities. If Settlement Hierarchy can ensure fair distribution over time, I think it is a good idea.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Jane Ann	Name
Surname	Mason	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50042

50042-4

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

Yes I agree.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50042-5

Yes there should be different categories, but currently, the existing hierarchy is too prescribed and does not take into account quality of life in a town such as ours. Access to amenities, open space and public transport should dictate where on the settlement hierarchy Chatteris is placed.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Ian	Name	
Surname	Mason	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50038

50038-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
Yes, but based on on sound public transport and other infrastructure provisions. Chatteris is not in a league with other market towns as it seems excluded from viable transport plans.

50038-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

A sustainability appraisal for Chatteris needs to look seriously at how it is ranked. It is certainly not in the town category through lack of transport opportunities, work and service facilities.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Geoffrey Mathias	Name	
Surname	Mathias	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50074

50074-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
YES BUT SEE 3B

50074-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

THE EXISTING SETTLEMENT HIERARCHY IS TOO RESTRICTIVE. IT NEEDS TO BE FAR MORE FLEXIBLE AND MORE PLANNING DECISIONS MUST BE MADE ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS AND NOT DOGMA.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50074-6 NO NEED IF SOMETHING LIKE 3B IS ADOPTED

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	John	Name	
Surname	Maxey	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Maxey Grounds	Organisation	

50073

50073-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
A settlement heirachy , but we should expand the number of settlements identified for growth, to support their facilities and respond to the demand for homes in a semi rural location rather than within towns

50073-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Catagories ut larger number of growth settlements

50073-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**
Leverington, Elm and Parson Drove which can accommodate more development and are some of the larger villages moved up to Growth. At present most of the growth villages are in the south of the District so this will distribute development across the area Guyhirn, Gorefield, Murrow and Tydd St Giles moved up to limited growth. Tydd Gote which is larger than just the Fenland part moved up to Small Village

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mrs	Title	
First Name	J	Name	
Surname	Melton	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Chatteris Town Council	Organisation	

50129

50129-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
Agree

50129-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Existing hierarchy is correct and there is no need for different categories.

50129-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**
N/A

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Alan	Name
Surname	Melton	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Manea Parish Council	Organisation

50126

50126-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

Agree

50126-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Same

50126-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

Manea should be right up there. Manea has station/rail potential.

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	E	Name
Surname	Murat	Surname
Position	Parish Council Clerk	Position
Organisation	Parson Drove Parish Council	Organisation

50051

50051-1 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

Yes, we agree you should have a Settlement Hierarchy policy.

50051-2 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

We do not see any need to change the existing categories other than to specify the maximum number of dwellings for each development site. For Limited Growth villages, for Parson Drove we would like this to be a maximum of 10 dwellings per site. For Other villages, for Church End 1 dwelling per site. We consider that Parson Drove should continue to be a Limited Growth village in the settlement hierarchy and Church End remain in the Other village settlement hierarchy.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	Geoff	Name
Surname	Newham	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50015

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50015-5 It's essential to maintain a settlement hierarchy. Small villages need to know that they are viewed that way, that their rural communities are, to a great extent, protected from exploitation and encroachment. By setting out FDC's view on protecting rural Fenland, vexatious applications will be avoided, communities safeguarded, and planning applications dealt with more efficiently.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50015-6 I do feel that the existing hierarchy is reasonable

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50015-7 I'd love to see Tydd St Giles isolated as something special, but that's because I live here! I'm passionate about this rural highly agricultural gem and do not wish to see its attractive uniqueness dissipated or made into another Parson Drove. Given the covetous ambition of a small handful of people, this village could be devastated in very short order, as it nearly was not so long ago. Thankfully, FDC's planning committee had similar views about preserving the nature of Tydd St Giles, supported by the local plan.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Stewart	Name
Surname	Patience	Surname
Position	Spatial Planning Manager	Position
Organisation	Anglian Water Services Ltd	Organisation

50037

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50037-2 Agree – this would provide greater certainty to Anglian Water as an infrastructure provider in terms of the anticipated scale and distribution of development.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Alex	Name
Surname	Patrick	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Alexandra Design	Organisation

50011

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?
50011-3 Disagree, the more facilities taken away from villages make it harder for development to meet the 550 target but also don't help the locals stay in the village as the facilities get taken away (For example bus routes)

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?
50011-4 Shouldn't be ranked in size of population. Should be ranked by local business, employment, school all go hand in hand. If there is no business there is no need to be located in the rural locations as there services get taken away due to only residents needing the transport.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?
50011-5 Murrow, Guyhirn. Both close to A47 and have large business that would thrive off of more residents and facilities. Guyhirn has major growth opportunities which would naturally filter to Murrow.

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mrs	Title
First Name	J	Name
Surname	Richardson	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Benwick Parish Council	Organisation

50040

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?
50040-5 Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50040-6 No observation

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?
50040-7 Benwick should remain a small village. The impact of Wimblington and Doddington as growth villages has impacted on the local roads, without an increase in infrastructure, and development now needs to be more limited.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Bryan	Name
Surname	Rose	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50082

50082-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
We must have settlement hierarchy policy to protect the natural beauty of our environment.

50082-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

This needs to be strictly maintain, we do need another category, so that the local inhabitants views are clearly documented, i.e. the local inhabitants what a small village to remain a small village and development is inhibited.

50082-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**
No village should be moved without the consent of the local inhabitants.

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Gerald	Name
Surname	Seabrook	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50094

50094-2 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**
Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee

Title Mr
First Name D
Surname Sheldon
Position
Organisation

Agent

Title
Name John
Surname Dadge
Position
Organisation Barker Storey Matthews

50064

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50064-1 Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50064-2 Generally agree with the settlement hierarchy however consider that some scope should be made available to allow development in smaller hamlets and groups of houses not identified within the settlement hierarchy where they are readily accessible to other service centres and are on main transport routes and not within flood zones 2 or 3. Such hamlets or well defined groups of houses could provide the opportunity for limited infill development within existing residential curtilages or within the 'built up' area of the hamlet. Other LPA's such as South Kesteven District and Huntingdonshire District both have policies which provide greater flexibility to what is otherwise a moratorium on development outside the defined settlements within the settlement hierarchy. This form of development could be progressed quickly and would be extremely well suited for the delivery of self build and custom build housing. The respondent would recommend the inclusion of a suitably worded policy to cover this eventuality. An example of a hamlet where such a policy could apply would be Kings Delph.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50064-3 Having regard to the representation in relation to 3b above, we would seek the inclusion of an additional policy that could facilitate infill development within well defined hamlets not appearing within the settlement hierarchy.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Pam	Name
Surname	Shippey	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50075

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50075-2 I disagree with a hierarchy policy in principle as all communities should have equal opportunity for development.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50075-3 I feel Newton in the Isle has suffered because of the hierarchy. We lost our school and therefore the village is becoming basically a settlement for older people.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Tim	Name
Surname	Slater	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Peter Humphrey Associates	Organisation

50043

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50043-3 Yes to settlement hierarchy.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50043-4 Need to review existing settlement hierarchy based on a published / agreed methodology with clearly defined criteria against which to assess sustainability and accessibility.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50043-5 As 3b - Need to review existing settlement hierarchy based on a published / agreed methodology with clearly defined criteria against which to assess sustainability and accessibility.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Jonathan	Name	
Surname	Stone	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50026

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50026-5 The CCG has no views on this

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50026-6 The CCG has no views on this

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50026-7 The CCG has no views on this

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Robert	Name	
Surname	Taylor	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50085

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50085-5 A settlement hierarchy is required

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50085-6 No

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50085-7 Benwick, we should have limited growth as any expansion will sit outside of the current acknowledged footprint avoiding building into the fen.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Richard	Name
Surname	Tester	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50088

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50088-5 I agree with the settlement hierarchy policy on the whole. However, if it was agreed a major company could move into a rural area I think FDC should be able to reconsider, with consultation, future housing, schooling, healthcare needs of that area.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50088-6 Existing settlement hierarchy based on population size seems a sensible way of categorising areas of population. I can see a problem if a rural area suddenly becomes a major employer, as then there would be a change in population spread and then, perhaps, the hierarchy would need to be changed. However, that could be sorted in the next plan in 20 years time.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50088-7 No. I think they should be reviewed in the next planning period in 20 years time.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name		Name	Kimberley
Surname	This Land Limited	Surname	Brown MRTPI
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Carter Jonas LLP

50120

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50120-4 It is agreed that there should be a settlement hierarchy policy in the emerging Local Plan, since this approach identifies those settlements which have a good range of services and facilities and are accessible by a range of modes of transport. The settlement hierarchy would inform decisions about the scale and location of new development, and would seek to ensure that development is directed to the most sustainable settlements. However, the settlement hierarchy is not the only factor, and environmental and other constraints and the capacity of existing settlements will also determine future growth patterns.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50120-5 The existing settlement hierarchy identifies settlements according to their size, the range of facilities they contain, and their accessibility by a range of modes of transport. It is considered that the current settlement categories is appropriate, and no amendments are required.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50120-6 March and Wisbech are the largest and most sustainable settlements in Fenland, and it is appropriate for these settlements to be identified as market towns at the top of the settlement hierarchy. March has a number of facilities and services, access to employment opportunities and is also served by a good public transport network, including March Railway Station.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Katie	Name	
Surname	Thornley	Surname	
Position	Senior Finance Manager	Position	
Organisation	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Sus	Organisation	

50034

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50034-5 Yes we believe we should have a settlement hierarchy as it helps to ensure that potential housing developments consider available facilities to ensure developments are sustainable.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50034-6 The STP have no views on this.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50034-7 The STP don't know of any reasons to move villages up or down the hierarchy.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Simon
Surname	Triman Developments (UK) Limited an	Surname	Machen
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	Barmach Ltd

50084

50084-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

Agree

50084-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

The existing hierarchy is appropriate.

50084-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

No.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Nolan	Name	
Surname	Tucker	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Deloitte	Organisation	

50014

50014-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

We agree with a settlement hierarchy approach and consider the tiers within the adopted Local Plan are appropriate and should be retained.

50014-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

As above

50014-6 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

We would expect a full Sustainability Appraisal to be undertaken as part of the Local Plan review to establish whether any settlements should be reclassified.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Kate	Name
Surname	Waller	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Elm Parish Council	Organisation

50030

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50030-5 Yes, there should be a settlement hierarchy, but this needs to focus on existing local amenities, with these being improved before additional housing is considered.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50030-6 The Council has no problems with the existing hierarchy providing there is a focus on existing local amenities, with these being improved before additional housing is considered.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50030-7 The Council believes that locally Coldham would support additional development, and also Rings Emnd which has good links to the A47.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	Lawrence	Name
Surname	Weetman	Surname
Position	Chairman	Position
Organisation	Chatteris Past, Present & Future	Organisation

50024

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

50024-5 Chatteris Past, Present & Future believes that a settlement hierarchy seems like a good way to try to categorise towns and villages according to their size and amenity, making it easier to compare, discuss, reason about and set policy for different types of area.

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50024-6 We think that placing Chatteris and Whittlesey in the same category as Wisbech - and even March - seems to over-simplify the categories and fails to make a distinction between the amenities and growth opportunity in these areas.

Wisbech and March both have access to large supermarkets and more amenities. Both have chain restaurants, busier nightlives and enhanced cultural/leisure activities. In particular, Wisbech has the multiplex cinema, a theatre, a nearby roller skating rink, and many more tourist spots than enjoyed by other Fenland towns.

Although March and Whittlesey don't have access to as many amenities, both of these towns enjoy access to both Peterborough and Cambridge (as well as further afield) via a regular rail service. Manea also has a growing but less-frequent service. Chatteris is the only Market Town with neither a railway station nor a wide array of amenities.

Chatteris is also the only one of the four towns without a public swimming pool.

Chatteris has a significantly smaller population than the other Market Towns, with the next largest town (Whittlesey) having a population around 1.5x the size of ours.

It would therefore be useful if further distinction could be made in the plan, as these factors greatly affect growth potential in each of the towns.

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

50024-7 We think that Chatteris should be considered separately from the other Market Towns for the reasons explained above - either via reclassification or through the creation of new classifications.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Alan	Name
Surname	Wheeldon	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50001

50001-5 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

Yes agree

50001-6 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

No It's fine

50001-7 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

No

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	Ray	Name
Surname	Whitwell	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation		Organisation

50107

50107-4 **3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?**

Yes, should have settlement hierarchy.

50107-5 **3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?**

Existing categories are ok.

50107-6 **3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?**

No. However, the introduction of any new road (e.g. Whittlesey southern bypass) would open up new land for development. This should be encouraged.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Ray	Name	
Surname	Whitwell	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation		Organisation	

50108

50108-5	3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy? Should have one
50108-6	3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories? Existing hierarchy is about right.
50108-7	3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why? Introduction of new roads (i.e Whittlesey Southern Bypass) could open up more land for development than would otherwise be permitted.

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mrs	Title	
First Name	Pat	Name	
Surname	Wilkinson	Surname	
Position		Position	
Organisation	Newton-in-the-Isle Parish Council	Organisation	

50048

50048-4	3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy? Agree
50048-5	3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories? Inflexible, should be a policy for individual villages.
50048-6	3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why? Move Newton in the Isle up to Limited Growth village.

Question 3: Settlement Hierarchy

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Geoff	Name
Surname	Wilkinson	Surname
Position		Position
Organisation	Wisbech St Mary Parish Council	Organisation

50047

50047-2

3a) Do you agree or disagree that we should have a settlement hierarchy policy?

Yes

3b) What are your views on the existing settlement hierarchy? Should there be different categories?

50047-3

3c) Should any villages be moved up or down the hierarchy? If so, which ones and why?

Guyhirn and Murrow should go to Limited Growth Villages. The parish has good local amenities and reasonable roads; and there is a demand for housing in our parish.