employment land?

employees has been sadly overlooked in the past few years.

50103-4

Questi	on z. mousing ive	.cu
Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Melanie	Name
Surname	Atwell	Surname
Position		Organisation
Organisatio	n	
2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. Whether think, and why?		
50103-2	affordable housing most of	ot right from the amount of children living with their parents due to lack of of my middle aged friends have children in their late 20s early 30s living with but necessity because of the lack of affordable housing.
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?	
50103-3	housing, A home has a hu	ted of past governments not to be building or allowing building of social ge impact on one's well-being and I'm sure the increase in people suffering with the connected with the lack of the stability of good housing.
	should be, and consult wi	earch to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets th you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any swe should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new

It would be really nice to attract firms with a good reputation for looking after it employees. Welfare of

Consultee Agent Title Title Miss Name Marcia First Name Surname Whitehead B.S. Pension Fund Trustee Ltd Surname Hallam Land Management Ltd Organisation Position c/o agent Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Chatteris is well connected to the nearby Market Towns in Fenland and wider afield both south to Cambridge and Huntingdon and north west out to Peterborough making it an ideal location for further employment growth across all sectors. The town already has a range of facilities and housing types which provide a sound base from which to growth the town further.

ConsulteeAgentTitleTitleFirst NameSerenaNameSurnameBaileyPositionOrganisation

Organisation

50007-4

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50007-2 I don't know enough about how long it takes to build and develop houses to advise on this point. However I

would like to emphasise that whilst I wholeheartedly embrace growth and welcome the development of new housing, natural and open green space are vital for emotional well-being and are something that makes the countryside life really special, so as we welcome more people, we need to work harder to ensure that there's sufficient outdoor space for all of our new neighbours to enjoy, rather than sacrifice that space in order to

cram houses into every nook and cranny.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

I support building plenty of houses, in accordance with national policy, but it must be done in such a way which does not destroy community assets. If all we do is build houses and sacrifice outdoor space and things t

that make Fenland special we will drive more people away than we will attract to fill the new houses.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

I don't have good expertise on this point unfortunately.

in each significant market town.

Consultee		Agent	
T'11.		T11.	
Title		Title	
First Name	Hilary	Name	
Surname	Bailey	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisatio	n		
	2a) This new Plan must have think, and why?	ve an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you	
50039-2	With great uncertainty about climate change, I think the earlier date makes sense.		
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?		
50039-3		h back against this target. The government needs to take climate predictions these local targets. Allocation should be diverted away from areas at serious rate change.	
	It is also meaningless to th time.	row houses into an area without looking at transport provision at the same	
	Apart from a few pockets of strive	connected by train, Fenland is very poorly served by public transport, and to	
	to build more houses which can only be occupied by people dependent on private cars makes no sense.		
	should be, and consult wit	earch to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets h you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new	
50039-4		d employment, plugging into the centres at Ely & Cambridge. But you'll only and work in e.g. Chatteris is there are ample facilities available, including ready e.	
	It's important to recognise idea	and encourage home-working too. Co-working space would be an excellent	

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Bryan Surname Baker Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50046-2 It would need this to produce the qunatity but also use empty homes to achieve this. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, whv? The need is not here at present. 50046-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Taking away industry from villages i.e. Roman Bank. 50046-4 Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Kris Surname Surname Baxter Organisation Position Studio 11 Architecture Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? Yes. Fenland is currently under delivering housing based on the figures contained within this question. On 50111-1 that basis we should be approving significantly more housing to enable the annual delivery of homes to meet the delivery. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Mr Title

First Name Mark Name

Surname Behrendt Surname

Position Planning Manager Organisation

Organisation Home Builders Federation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

The local housing needs assessment using the standard method is the minimum number of homes the Council need to plan. The NPPF and PPG both set out a number of circumstances where a higher figure may be required, these are considered below.

Firstly paragraph 60 of the NPPF states that the housing need figure should take into account any needs that cannot be met within a neighbouring area. The Council will therefore need to ensure that it has engaged with the appropriate LPAs in neighbouring areas identify whether there are any unmet needs and if there are consider how these can be addressed. It will also be important for the Council not to merely look at neighbouring authorities. The NPPF states in paragraph 60 that Council's must consider needs in neighbouring "areas" which would suggest that the Council should consider, as minimum, housing needs and supply in neighbouring Housing Market Areas or Counties.

Secondly the Council must consider whether the economic circumstances faced by Fenland or neighbouring areas would require additional delivery. It will be important for the Council to engage thoroughly with the Cambridge and Peterborough Combined Authority to consider the impacts on Fenland from future growth strategies and infrastructure funding bids on the need for housing not only in Fenland but across the Joint Authority.

Thirdly, the Council will need to consider, as set out in paragraph 2a-024 of PPG, whether an increase in the total amount of housing is necessary to help deliver the required number of affordable homes. It would appear that the Council needs to allocate more land to improve delivery given that the in the last two monitoring years the Council delivered just 48 affordable homes against an annual need of circa 170.

In addition, it will be necessary for the Council to include a buffer in its planned supply to ensure that its housing requirement is met. This buffer will ensure that any unforeseen delays in allocated sites coming forward and slower than expected delivery rates on sites under construction will still allow the Council to meet its housing requirement for the plan period. We suggest a buffer of 20% is necessary to ensure that there is sufficient capacity in the borough's land supply to ensure that unforeseen circumstances do not derail the overall objectives of the plan with regard to housing delivery.

-			
Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name	Sharon, Patricia Joyce and Melanie	Name	Richard
Surname	Bester, Whittlesey and Curl - C/O NYC	Surname	Mowat
Position		Organisation	Johnson Mowat
Organisation			

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- The Government target is a minimum amount set by the Government. Factoring in ambitions in relation to Employment Growth and general ambitions for the district, the housing target should be higher to cater for this.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- The authority should attract inward investment by providing suitable locations for development to take place. And also providing it in suitable locations for local people to travel by sustainable transport methods.

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Lee	Name	
Surname	Bevens	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisation	L Bevens Associates Archite	ects Ltd	
	2a) This new Plan must have ar think, and why?	n end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you	
	I personally believe that the Local Plan needs to have greater flexibility and be reviewed to match the economic situation of the country, but that aside based upon current guidelines 2040 is about right.		
		Ir minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To hly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,	
50086-3	Based upon the lack of housing	g delivery over the last 5 years and the lack of investment in infrastructure elieve FDC will deliver anywhere near that figure so raising it would be a	
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50080-4	should look to that market. Cha Cambridge is a nightmare. Thin	dge and the bio-medical and software investment, I believe that Fenland atteris for example is some 20 miles north of Cambridge and travelling into hk of the additional investment that would come if you could bring y into the southern area of the district. The Fens could really drive forward	

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Robert Surname Boddington Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50122-2 For every new house, more services must be planned for. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? No higher 50122-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Agricultural based industry must be foremost, out of the market towns. 50122-4 Consultee Agent Title Councillor Title Name First Name Gavin Surname Surname Booth Organisation Position Parson Drove Parish Council Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50106-1 Yes. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? no. 50106-2 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Light industry, agritech 50106-3

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Ted	Name	
Surname	Brand	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisation	ation Brand Associates Architects		
	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. Whe think, and why?2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2 attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Show why?		
50029-1	could be more to meet the nee	d in villages but this needs to include affordable	
2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for employment land?			
50029-2	Fenland needs some up market/hi-tech employment land. The south and the west of the of the current March south broad location for growth would make a good 'gateway' to March has good access to the Cambridge area		
Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Fiona	Name	
Surname	Bryan	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisation	1		
	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?		
		for extensive development of such a large area. 2040 would appear right.	
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so why?		
50090-3		s against potential flooding of the area in the long term future, I would the national average. However, the distribution of housing should be enland.	
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50090-4	Tourism and entertainment would be key sectors as research suggests people are less interested in material items. However, in places, there is still a need to attract larger retail chains. I would also welcome large businesses e.g. tech companies.		

Gladman

Organisation

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Nicole Name

Surname Burnett

Position Senior Planner

Agent

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50060-1 The consultation document outlines that as at March 2019 the Local Housing Need figure is calculated at 550 dwellings per year for Fenland and that this coincidentally is the same as the annual figure identified in the adopted Local Plan.

Through the process of producing the FLP the 550 figure should be considered as the minimum needed and in determining where new housing should go the Council should be open minded to the fact that this figure may increase further through Duty to Cooperate discussions.

Being pro-active about this likelihood will help to avoid any delays through the process of plan preparation. Once the minimum housing need has been established further interrogation of this figure will be required to ensure this is appropriate, for example it may be necessary to increase this figure to support economic growth or to meet affordable housing needs as set out in the PPG.

Enland are proposing that the end of the plan period should be 31 March 2040, which will be about 18 years from adoption. Consequently, if the plan does progress with a 2040 end date, the Local Housing Need for Fenland will be 11,550 dwellings between 2019 and 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50060-2 As outlined above and within Section 2 of this submission, Gladman urge the Council to remember that the standard method for calculating housing need is a minimum and that this should not be seen as a definitive target or cap on sustainable development being brought forwards.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Gladman note that question 2c) of the consultation document refers to determining the appropriate jobs growth and employment land targets Gladman remind the Council of the importance of ensuring their housing and employment growth targets through the FLP are aligned and that the proposed scale of housing would support the scale of employment growth that the plan seeks to achieve.

Gladman reserve the right to comment further on the housing and jobs growth proposals when these are jointly presented in the next stage of public consultation.

Questi	on 2: Housing Nee	ed
Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Andrew	Name
Surname	Burrell	Surname
Position		Organisation
Organisatio	n	
	2a) This new Plan must have think, and why?	e an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you
50083-2	populated. Also end the Rig	on and then revisit the scheme then as by 2040 the district will be over ht to buy scheme to keep social housing out of the hands of private landlords the public. We need more council houses not less.
		our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,
50083-3	With all the political upheave to as it is likely to change m	val in the UK I personally don't think any government policy should be adhered any times.
	should be, and consult with	you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new
50083-4	predominantly Agriculture b	be used before any development of other sites and industries, Fenland is based and no farmland should be allowed to be built on as this will reduce the y. Also with the shambles of Brexit who knows what the employment will be ne to employ.

Consultee

Agent

Title Mr Title

First Name Adrian

Surname Cannard

Position

Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Com

Agent

Title

Name

Surname

Organisation

Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Com

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

The Combined Authority would support an end date that allows a sufficient view to be taken of long term growth, particularly where there is the need for significant infrastructure, and has no objection to a date of 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Independent Economic Review recommended that in order to achieve the ambition of doubling the economy, prevent negative impacts on business growth in certain areas, and address the housing affordability issues, the rate of housing delivery across the Combined Authority area needed to be increased compared to recent delivery. The Combined Authority would expect the evidence base for Fenland's housing target to examine this issue, taking into account prospects for the Fenland economy, infrastructure investments, and environmental matters.

The Combined Authority is not in a position to comment on what an appropriate housing target should be.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

The ambition of the Combined Authority is to tackle economic inequalities across the area. The facilitation of jobs that can offer higher salaries would start to address the relative lack of such opportunities in the Fenland area compared to other parts of the Combined Authority area.

The Combined Authority is supporting the provision of more opportunities to access Higher Education locally through the University of Peterborough project. This provides an opportunity to increase the skills base of Fenland residents.

The Local Economic Strategy and the Market Towns programme may provide additional evidence for the Review.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Name Andrew

Surname Cannon Kirk

Position Organisation Pegasus Group

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50027-2 2040 seems to be a reasonable plan period however housing supply will be regularly reviewed during the plan period to ensure there are sufficient deliverable sites to meet the housing need.

The scope for the deliver of 'windfall sites' (up to 250 units at the market towns) should be retained in the plan in order to allow any shortfall to be met. The housing target is after all a minimum target requirement as defined in the NPPF.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

The Plan should at least meet if not exceed the housing target of 550 homes per annum. There are no justified reasons not to do this. In order to achieve this, it will be necessary to allocate additional sustainable sites for residential purposes throughout the District.

The NPPF clearly states that housing need figures are to be considered a minimum delivery requirement. The opportunity for appropriate windfall sites to come forward at sustainable locations should be retained as policy in the plan alongside new allocations.

The NPPF definition of a 'deliverable site should be referenced in the plan to ensure sites are capable of coming forward in the plan period. '

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

50027-4

n/a

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name James Name

Surname Carney Surname

Position Organisation

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- Can't really comment if the new LP delivers between 2020 and 2037 then great!
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- Stick with the current suggested minimum as Government planning policies can change according to whoever is in power at Westminster. I do not think that at this present point in time Fenland's local infrastructure (roads, schools, surgeries) would be able to support anything greater in the number of new dwellings.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- To begin with FDC needs to capitalise on our geographical location within Cambridgeshire with its ease of access to major centres and transport nodes. Chatteris is a perfect example being on a major road junction and being roughly 25-40 minutes away from Cambridge, Huntingdon and Peterborough. We also have lower land values than Cambridge & South Cambs so a concerted effort to encourage businesses to locate to northern Cambs (i.e. Fenland) would be ideal. Higher skilled/professional types of jobs should be attracted so potentially giving a greater number of higher wage earners in the district. It would be prudent to consider that these types of employees would probably desire good quality housing therefore creating a demand for such housing this would potentially give more council tax revenue to FDC due to higher valuation tax bands.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Matthew Name

Surname Carpenter

Position Organisation

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50004-1 duf

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Matthew
Surname	Clifton Homes Ltd	Surname	Kendrick
Position		Organisation	Grass Roots Planning

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- We agree that 2040 is the right end date for the plan period as this allows the authority to plan for the long term needs of the area. National planning guidance recommends that plans consider a minimum 15 year period.
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was revised in July 2018 and this included the introduction of the Standard Method for Calculating Housing Need ('the standard method'). The method seeks to use a formula to identify the minimum number of homes expected to be planned for based on historic undersupply and affordability ratios. It is important to note that whilst this produces a minimum annual housing need figure, it does not produce an overall housing requirement.
 - 2.4 We are pleased to note that FDC are utilising the standard method to calculate their housing need and do not appear to be seeking to justify a different methodology. This is set out within Part A, Section 2 of the Issues and Options Document which estimates an overall minimum local housing need of 11,550 dwellings between 2019 and 2040 (550 per year).
 - 2.5 However, we note that the Local Plan is not anticipated to be submitted until May 2021. This means the base date for calculating housing need will shift from 2019 2029 to 2021 2031, and new up-to-date affordability data will have been published which will not have been taken into account. The 'Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment' chapter of Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) states that:

'Strategic policy - making authorities will need to calculate their local housing need figure at the start of the plan - making process. This number should be kept under review and revised where appropriate.

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Steve	Name	
Surname	Count	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisation	า		
	2a) This new Plan must think, and why?	have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you	
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If why? 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land tar should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have at views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50110-2	New Jobs with higher p	roductivity than national average, so fenland average can achieve national average.	
Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	John	Name	
Surname	Covill	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisation	ı		
	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?		
50067-2	2040 is reasonable but population growth more volatile in recent years so too longer plan may become outdated.		
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?		
50067-3	No. It would put too much strain on the transport system that the government is not prepared to finance the upgrading of.		
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50067-4	Not at this time.		

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Steve Name

Surname Cox Surname

Position Executive Director Place & Economy,

Organisation Peterborough City Council

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

Organisation

50017-1 Peterborough City Council supports the long term strategy of the Fenland Plan to 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

Peterborough City Council supports the use of the national standard method for calculating housing need.

The Peterborough Local Plan was adopted in July 2019. During the examination stage, the Planning Inspector reviewed the position of the Memorandum of Co-operation agreed between the Cambridgeshire authorities in 2013 and the requirement for Peterborough to accommodate growth from the Cambridgeshire area.

The Inspector's report included the following Suggested Change to be inserted to the Local Plan:

'However, during the latter stages of preparing this Local Plan, and particularly during its examination stage, it became clear that the time had come to bring to an end the terms of the 'memorandum of cooperation', and that Peterborough should only seek to meet its own housing needs'.

Therefore to be clear Peterborough City Council is not in a position to accommodate any unmet need from Fenland, nor is it looking to 'offload' any need arising in Peterborough to Fenland.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Charlotte

Surname Dew

Position

Agent

Organisation

Organisation Larkfleet Homes

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50124-2 Agree with the figure of 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

Larkfleet Homes believe Fenland District Council should go above and beyond the minimum target for housing needs. Failure to meet previous housing targets has left the council in a position of under delivery. Although this has been accounted for in the standard methodology calculations above the framework clearly states this is not a target, mealy guidance and can be exceeded (NPPF, 2019 paragraph 60).

Barker Review (2004) and government report 'Fixing our broken housing market' (2017) identify a national housing crisis of affordability. As a result, the government has encouraged house building to in theory reduce house prices. Supplying over and beyond the standard methodology calculations would help to support the government's aim of achieving new build housing numbers and improving affordability.

Allocations for housing often do not consider the principles of land efficiency. The revised NPPF (2019) states in paragraph 122 that 'planning policies and decision should support development that makes efficient use of land' and in paragraph 123 to 'ensure that developments make optimal use of the potential of each site'. Assessing housing numbers should be done on a site by site basis and should consider the efficient use of land.

Persimmon Homes

Organisation

50121-4

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Anne Name

Surname Dew

Position Development Planning Manager - East

Agent

Title

Organisation

2a) This naw Plan must have an end date of at least 2027. We think 2040 is

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50121-2 Persimmon Homes consider that 2040 is a sensible end date. This will allow for full consideration to be given to how Fenland will grow in the future.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

Persimmon Homes are of the view that the Local Plan should include a housing target above the minimum housing numbers of 11,550 new homes to 2040.

Fenland District Council have consistently under delivered in their housing delivery in recent years and allocating more sites which are deliverable will help to address this issue. The population in Fenland is continuing to grow. This is evidenced within the Business Plan 2018/2019. As Over 100,700 people live in the district (ONS: 2018), as 75% live in the four market towns of Chatteris (11,000), March (23,000), Whittlesey (13,000) and Wisbech (31,500).

Fenland has the lowest house prices in Cambridgeshire and plentiful availability of commercial land. As a result, the population has grown quickly. As it has been predicted within 20 years' time (2038), the population will have increased by 11% to 111,512 (ONS: 2016) and therefore, increases the demand for housing within the area.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Offices and factory jobs should try to be facilitated more within the district. Persimmon Homes are of the view that March, Chatteris and Whittlesey should be the main focus for new employment land and land should be allocated within/adjacent to existing commercial development.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Lesley Name

Surname Dorling

Position

Organisation

Agent

Title

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50009-2 20 years is a good amount of time to achieve set goals.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50009-3 I think a fraction higher would be a good idea. Needs might change in the future and it's good to be optimistic.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Eamonn Name

Surname Dorling Surname

Organisation

Organisation

Position

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

Housing should be accelerated in 'BROWN FIELD' sites as quickly as possible to attract workforce. Planned expansion in areas that can support development and do not disturb the fine balance (Global warming risk of Flooding) to produce funds to aid infrastructure improvements.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50010-3 Provided the right type of development (i.e. factory built housing rather than traditional building). Then it is easier to forecast development profit margins and contributions to infrastructure requirements.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Energy related manufacture, supply, installation and maintenance including development of science based battery manufacture for National consumption using expertise from the South of the County.

Consultee Agent Title Councillor Title Name First Name James Surname **Downes** Surname Organisation Position Organisation Leverington Parish Council 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50018-2 2040 is needed to give time to reach the required figures of housing growth. Need to take over unused housing and renovate to increase housing stock. Start building more council housing. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, No - not needed in this area unless there is vast expansion of towns like Whittlesey, March (railway 50018-3 connecion) Chatteris and Peterborough side of Fenland. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Agricultural orientated industry and light engineering. 50018-4 Consultee Agent Title Title Name Valerie First Name Surname **Emmons** Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50127-2 I'd go for 2037 - why drag it out? 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? I don't see how we could go higher without immediate and significant improvements to such services as 50127-3 buses, doctors' surgeries, and sewage/drainage capacity. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Whatever jobs are created particularly in Chatteris, workers will need homes and the ability to travel to 50127-4 work. One large company currently has difficulty in retaining staff, as they leave as soon as they find employment nearer to home.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Maxim Name

Surname Emmons

Position

Agent

Organisation

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 50119-2 Unless town rejuvenation is seriously undertaken why would anyone want to live in Fenland? Can our infrastructures (sewage, power, medical services) be developed to meet all the needs. Can business provide sufficient employment?
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Mrs Title

First Name D Name

Surname Evans

Position Surname

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 50076-1 No because Fenland will still not be able to deliver on target every year that it builds houses
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- No Fenland will reach a saturation point and will have to rely on successive governments and their policies to fund the future Infrastructure of Fenland
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- 50076-3 Work with current employers and see what they need to generate more employment first

Consultee Agent Title Title Name Susanah First Name Surname Surname Farmer Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50100-2 No opinion on dates. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? If opportunities available, take them, but only in thought out schemes with appropriate infrastructure. 50100-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Better transport links would encourage business and employees. Agriculture and food processing state-of-50100-4 the-art - need to inspire young people that they are worthwhile employment, not last resort. Endorsement from Cambridge University would be useful rather than stripping education away from the Fens and moving it to Cambridge as happenened with CoWA.

Consultee Agent Title Mr Title Name First Name Colum Surname **Fitzsimons** Surname Organisation Position Cambridgeshire County Council Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50031-2 The local plan should take into account the timescales of the relevant local health related strategies for example: The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Health and Wellbeing Strategy, The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Long Term Plan. The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough STP Estates Strategy. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? The proposed scale of growth and any targets exceeding the 11,550 dwellings will require testing the 50031-3 spatial growth options and site assessments against flood risk and surface water drainage considerations. The County Council welcomes the preparation of the SFRA as part of the local plan process. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

ConsulteeAgentTitleTitleFirst NameNameMattSurnameSurnameHarePositionCarter Jonas LLP

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

The previous plan-making processes across Cambridgeshire sought to align the plan periods of the various Local Plans to reflect the fact that some of the evidence was gathered on a county-wide basis, and to provide some consistency on strategic matters.

It is unfortunate that the plan periods for the various Local Plans in Cambridgeshire could not be aligned. There are some benefits in terms of planning for housing, infrastructure, and transport to have consistency. The emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan being prepared jointly by Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District Councils is likely to ask a similar question about the end date for that plan, including whether it should be to 2040.

It is noted that national guidance expects Local Plans to be kept up to date, regulations require Councils to assess at least every five years whether a Local Plan should be reviewed, and the outcome of the standard method for calculating local housing needs is time limited and subject to change as new data is published. It is agreed that a plan period ending in 2040 would be appropriate, and consistent with the likely end date for the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

As set out in Paragraph 010 (Id. 2a) of the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), the standard method for assessing local housing need provides a minimum starting point for determining the number of homes needed.

Paragraph 010 identifies other factors which might indicate that an increase to the housing need figure should be made, including growth strategies, strategic infrastructure and unmet needs from neighbouring areas. It is considered that these factors should be taken into account to determine whether the housing target should be increased above the figure derived from the standard method. In addition, Paragraph 024 (Id. 2a) of the PPG also suggests an increase to the housing target should be made if it could help deliver the required levels of affordable housing.

It is noted that the delivery of affordable housing in Fenland is low compared with overall policy requirements – see Table 7 of the latest Annual Monitoring Report 2017/18 (March 2019). The historic under-delivery of affordable housing in Fenland indicates that an uplift should be made to the housing target to increase the supply of affordable housing.

Questi	on 2: Housing Nee	e d
Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Roger	Name
Surname	George	Surname
Position	-	Organisation
Organisatio	n	
	2a) This new Plan must have think, and why?	an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you
50101-2		nd much will change in the political and social look of the country as a whole. e for the shortest period allowed, ie to 2037.
		our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To nighly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,
50101-3	Already many dwellings have been built in flood prone areas, or areas previously used for flood water	

- Already many dwellings have been built in flood prone areas, or areas previously used for flood water storage. There is pressure on dyke banks (Welney Wash bank works) and many roads will risk having more flood closures. Development means less ground to soak rain water through and more run off from concrete and rooves for ditches and dykes, many of which are no longer maintained to good standards. Given that and the pressured on good agricultural land (now used for windturbines, solar farms, growing maize to rot in bio digesters), further use of farm land for development should be stopped. The whole of Fenland should be an area of special scientific interest. As a result, FDC should carefully consider and compile arguments for a lower housing figure than Government policy dictates.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- Growth of the IT and technology industries out from the Cambridge/Ely corridors should be targeted but which means investment in full fibre cable connections to all housing in all Fenland towns and villages and a significant improvement in mobile coverage (some areas still struggle to get 2G let alone 4G coverage).

Consultee Agent Title Mr Title Name First Name lain Surname Green Surname Organisation Position Senior Public Health Officer Organisation Cambridgeshire County Council (Publi

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

The local plan should take into account the timescales of the relevant local health related strategies for example: The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Health and Wellbeing Strategy, The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Long Term Plan. The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough STP Estates Strategy. Whilst the local plan period is likely to be longer than the duration of these local strategies there may be opportunity's to align specific NHS capital projects, the role of the built environment in achieving positive health outcomes with local plan timelines. In addition planning of health services needs to reflect the rate of housing delivery.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

There is a balance to be struck between the number of houses needed for the Fenland area and the pressure this puts on local services, so if additional houses are delivered above the national policy requirement they need to be accompanied by addition developer contributions to deliver services.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Across Cambridgeshire there is a need to balance the Research and Development related jobs which are clustered around Cambridge particularly in the Bio-medical field, with the need to create skilled manufacturing jobs which result from Bio-medical R&D, there is an opportunity to locate these skilled manufacturing jobs in the Fenland area.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Name Kate

Surname Greystoke Land (option holder) and M

Position Organisation Pegasus Group

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

50123-1

- We support the facilitation of employment growth. It is important to ensure that housing growth is supported by the provision of jobs to ensure that growth is sustainable. To this end, we consider that land for jobs should be located in broad areas of housing growth. However, differing employment types have differing locational requirements. We are promoting a site north of the Isle of Ely Way (south of March) for a roadside services-led mixed use employment site. This would be a suitable location for the following reasons:
- There is a gap in provision of roadside services on the network that this development would address proximity to a major road (A141) on the main routes between Peterborough, Kings Lynn, Ely and Huntingdon • Proximity to a market town (March) and growth village (Wimblington) from where local employees would be sourced (there is a bus stop on the Wimblington Road just to the north-west of the site) • Direct access from the main road, avoiding the need for commercial vehicles to travel through built-up areas • We are aware of the need for such facilities from our clients who are experiencing demand for roadside services, lorry parking, and the full range of associated facilities to serve the safety and welfare of road users • An allocation for mixed employment and roadside uses means that demand will be able to be satisfied within the locality, to the benefit of the local economy, whilst protecting the amenities and character of the built up areas of March and Wimblington by enabling location and relocation of businesses away from the built up area,. At the same time, both March and Wimblington and being expanded in the direction of the Isle of Ely Way, such that the development of the site would relate well to these high order settlements. • NPPF para 107 requires that planning policies "should recognise the importance of providing adequate overnight lorry parking facilities, taking into account any local shortages, to reduce the risk of parking in locations that lack proper facilities or could cause a nuisance. Proposals for new or expanded distribution centres should make provision for sufficient lorry parking to cater for their anticipated use."

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Emma Name

Surname Surname

Position Director - Commercial Organisation

Organisation East Cambridgeshire District Council

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50016-1 We welcome the long term strategy of the Local Plan, with its proposed end date of 31 March 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

We note the document identifies a housing need over the plan period, using the national standard method for calculating such need. The document then suggests that to go lower than this figure is 'highly likely' to not accord with national policy, but the document asks whether the Council should aim for a higher target. Whilst this commentary in the document is perfectly reasonable and fair, at this preliminary stage, if the future iterations of the Fenland Local Plan seeks to plan for anything significantly different than its housing need as established via the national method, then East Cambridgeshire District Council requests early engagement with you on this so that we can understand what implications, if any, such departure from national policy would have on the district of East Cambridgeshire.

For the avoidance of doubt, at the present time, East Cambridgeshire District Council is not seeking to accommodate any unmet housing need from Fenland (should any such unmet need arise), nor 'offload' any need arising in East Cambridgeshire to Fenland.

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name MJSurname Hawkins Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50080-1 Twenty years into the future seems about right. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, whv? No figures have been provided of the number built to date and, more importantly, where they have been 50080-2 built. So the minimum total looks a reasonable total to work with. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Fenland is deficient in jobs, especially jobs that bestow skills and qualifications. I suggest that all types of 50080-3 employment should be encouraged and setting any target seems pointless. Consultee Agent Title Mr Title Name First Name Mark Surname Surname Hemment Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50033-2 Why stretch the life of the Plan? With many unknows, especially climate change, the Plan should only cover the minimum required period 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

The calculated target (11,550) should be the goal. Overreaching would be harmful to the environment, and place heavy burdens on infrastructure which may not grow at the same rate. The draft "Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Local Transport Plan" (2019) does not take a higher number of new

homes into account (it is based upon proposals from the Fenland Local Plan from 2011).

Consultee Agent Title Title Mrs Name M Sadie First Name Surname Surname Heritage Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50012-2 All new housing should be affordable and compact 2040 is a good date for conclusion 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, whv? NO. There should be affordable housing compactly built. This would limit the spread and help keep this a 50012-3 rural area 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Please to work on the land would help a great deal. Otherwise do not spread industrial areas. 50012-4 Consultee Agent Title Title Name Stephen First Name Surname Surname Hodson Organisation Position Organisation Hodsons 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50128-2 Whittlesey has seen higher growth than the target. It has benefitted by being close to Peterborough. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Jobs could be manufacturing and once the Kings Dyke Bridge is built! In Whittlesey there are many 50128-3 hectares of vacant industrial land next door.

Consultee Agent Title Cllr Title Name Samantha First Name Surname Surname Hoy Organisation Position FDC/WTC Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? Yes, we are in need for more housing 50112-2 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? locations should be in the town as they have the best transport infrastructure all employment is good 50112-3

Consultee

Agent

Title Ms Title

First Name Ruth Name

Surname Hufton

Position

Organisation Doddington Parish Council

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

If the last Local Plan is anything to go by, the period only makes sense where simple math is concerned (ie 550 dwellings pa). But some areas of the settlement hierarchy achieved their allocation very early on in the given period (2011 – 2031). This gave them a sense of false security, only to be shattered when they found that they were still xpected to grow further, and engendered a deep sense of unfairness.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

It is always seen as a good philosophy to over achieve – it makes for good reading in project plans. However, if as FDC and Fenland have failed in the last LP period to achieve what they set out to do, I cannot see that they are going to be more successful during the next LP period. I have no objection to the towns taking on more growth, as they have the facilities to enable this, but I would not be happy to see urban sprawl encroaching into the village space. Developers need to be legally made to build what they have permission for and not get into land banking.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

First attract the industry – then facilitate the jobs. With current traffic infrastructure – employers are not likely

to want to bring industry into the area, when it is virtually impossible to move the resultant product because of lack of appropriate roads, rail etc. Fenland could become a hub for agriculture and all associated industry, including the science of agriculture, horticulture, etc. Jobs would follow.

employment land?

50069-4

High value jobs in agricultural research

Consultee Agent Title Title Ms Name Shanna First Name Surname Jackson Surname Organisation Position Chartered Town Planner Swann Edwards Architecture Limited Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50056-2 The end date of the Local Plan should not exceed the minimum requirements as situations can change considerably from year to year. If the Local Plan is written up with an end date of 2040, it will be based on more presumptions than if it was written with an end date of 2037. Therefore it is likely to become out of date sooner. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, We see no reason to deviate from the prescribed minimum housing target. If the housing targets are to 50056-3 be higher then the planning policies to support new housing should be more accommodating. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Consultee Agent Title Mr Title Name First Name Simon Surname Surname King Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50069-2 Agree 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? Agree 50069-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new

Questi	on 2: Housing Need		
Consulted		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Ed
Surname	Kings Dyke Business Park Ltd	Surname	Durrant
Position	0. 7	Organisation	Pegasus Group
Organisatio	on		
	2a) This new Plan must have an end dath	te of at least 2037. We	e think 2040 is about right. What do you
50125-2	Whilst we have no comment to make on the detailed housing need figures it is clear that Fenland will experience significant housing growth over the plan period. Therefore, it is crucial that the emerging Local Plan also provides sufficient and diverse employment opportunities, on sites near to new homes or on the strategic transport network, to ensure that the District's housing growth does not result in dormitory settlements where residents commute out of the District due to the growth of employment		

- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- The District should plan positively for residential growth in accordance with national policy. By setting targets higher than the minimum there will be more flexibility over the timing of sites coming forward to ensure that the Council is not found to have a deficit in housing supply sites. This would limit the impact of speculative developments being proposed on sites that have not gone through the development plan process.

sites not being delivered alongside new homes.

- 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- The Council should focus its employment growth around established employment areas that are either on the edges of or well related to the larger settlements in the District. Alternatively, where these criteria cannot be met locations on the strategic transport network should be identified for further employment growth. The District will need to provide a range of employment opportunities and where appropriate larger sites should be allocated to deliver both commercial, retail and hotel uses so that they attract a range of users and create active and vibrant areas throughout the day.

Consultee		Agent		
Title	Mr	Title	Mr	
First Name	Peter	Name	Tom	
Surname	Lankfer	Surname	Nellist	
osition		Organisation	Trundley Design	
Organisatio	n			
	2a) This new Plan must have think, and why?	e an end date of at least 2037. We	e think 2040 is about right. What do you	
50079-2	Does the plan need to catch up with the below target performance of recent years? 2040 is twenty years in the future and seems reasonable as long as everything else is considered on this time frame			
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?			
50079-3		g higher as long as plans to increas well considered and consulted	e infrastructure are also included and the	
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?			
50079-4	The land isn't so much important as having the employers. I don't think we should strive for certain jobs just fostering a general area in which business can thrive			
Consultee		Agent		
Title		Title		
irst Name	Barrie	Name		
Surname	Luck	Surname		
Position		Organisation		
Organisatio	n			
o i gariisatio		2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?		
organisatio	•	e an end date of at least 2037. We	e think 2040 is about right. What do you	
	•	e an end date of at least 2037. We	e think 2040 is about right. What do you	
	think, and why? Agreed 2b) Government policy says attempt to go lower would	s our minimum housing target sho	e think 2040 is about right. What do you uld be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To y, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,	
50095-1	think, and why? Agreed 2b) Government policy says attempt to go lower would why?	s our minimum housing target sho highly likely breach national policy new dwellings will bring challenge	uld be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To	
50095-1 50095-2	think, and why? Agreed 2b) Government policy says attempt to go lower would why? Not higher. ANY number of adding to those challenges. 2c) We will undertake reseashould be, and consult with	s our minimum housing target sho highly likely breach national policy new dwellings will bring challenge arch to determine what appropriat you at the next stage on these fin	uld be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To y, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,	

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Karen Surname Surname Luck Organisation Position Organisation Romain 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50089-2 Agree. This spans the time period in which we need to achieve carbon neutral living so development could be slower in the first few years but increase as technology and infrastructure develop. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, We should not go higher. The drainage issues, availability of work and low lying land are less suitable than 50089-3 many other areas for much increase in housing density. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Small light industrial, additional food production and processing possibly linked to innovation in the food 50089-4 industry.

Consultee Agent Title Ms Title Name Debbie First Name Surname Mack Surname Organisation Position Historic Environment Planning Adviser Organisation Historic England

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- Any proposals for new employment land should consider the potential impact on the historic environment. Our advice note (HEAN) 3 on Site Allocations in Local Plans offers information on a methodology for site selection assessment:
 - https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/historic-environment-and-site-allocations-in-local-plans/

This applies equally to site assessment for employment land as well as housing land allocations. Further detail is given on this aspect later in the letter.

Consultee		Agent
Title	Mr	Title
First Name	Tim	Name
Surname	Marks	Surname
Position		Organisation

Organisation MVV Environment Ltd

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

To enable local growth, existing businesses and their workforce should be supported with efficient, sustainable infrastructure and adequate land to allow them to expand. Land to the South of Wisbech, north of the A47 should be allocated for this purpose and to attract new businesses to Wisbech. Complimentary activities that can bring real benefits and diversity to the nature and type of employment opportunities should be encouraged.

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Jane Ann Surname Surname Mason Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50042-2 2040. If the new Plan has an end date of 2037, the following plan beginning 2038 for Fenland will likely

- contain yet more ambitious plans for more housing. An end date of 2037 will simply bring the impending misery forward by three years.
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- No. We have already reached the town boundaries; any further large scale development would be on 50042-3 agricultural land and may necessitate the need to cross busy 'A' roads. This would result in the need for new road systems. Building new homes on agricultural land out on the Fens would result in an increased flood risk to the town and the absolute certainty of subsidence for those homes built on land consisting largely of peat.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Questi	on 2: nousing ive	ccu	
Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	lan	Name	
Surname	Mason	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisatio	n		
	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?		
50038-2	2040 seems more rational bearing in mind the very slow rate of providing starter properties and affordable homes in preference to executive commuter homes.		
		ys our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To d highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so,	
50038-3	No, we should look to providing sound infrastructure and support services before placing more people somewhere they can't get health and social help without travelling.		
2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for employment land?			
50038-4		nce and technology work opportunities to support the school leavers and afford to commute or to live in places like Chatteris. Being able to travel to Ely, mbridge would help.	

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	
First Name	Geoffrey Mathias	Name	
Surname	Mathias	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisatio	n		
2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. It think, and why?			
50074-1		BE A PROBLEM BECAUSE SO MUCH WILL CHANGE I N THIS TIME. THERE SERTED INTO THE PLAN FOR REVIEWS TO OCCUR	
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?		
50074-2	FDC ARE UNLIKELY TO REACH	THEIR CURRENT TARGET, GIVEN THEIR PERFORMANCE TO DATE. SO ASK IES THAN THE TARGET WHEN THE INITIAL TARGET IS REACHED	
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50074-3	NO COMMENT		
Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	John	Name	
Surname	Maxey	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisatio	n Maxey Grounds		
	2a) This new Plan must have a think, and why?	n end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you	
50073-2	Agree 2040 as it will be only 18 years from the time the plan is adopted		
2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If why?			
50073-3	We should treat this figure as a minimum, and if demand and / or increased business requires more we should be prepared to accommodate more		
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50073-4	We should seek to encourage more quality jobs that help encourage greater skills development in the workforce. Public sector jobs could be located to what is a lost cost area for both premises and employees housing		

Consultee Agent Title Title Mrs Name First Name Surname Melton Surname Organisation Position Organisation Chatteris Town Council 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50129-2 The end date should be 2037 at the most. 2040 is too long. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, whv? FDC should stick with 11,550 new homes as a minimum. 50129-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Manufacturing and technical (IT) jobs. The plan should aim for more skilled, higher paid jobs. Please see 50129-4 attached plan for suggested new employment land around Chatteris. Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Alan Surname Melton Surname Organisation Position Manea Parish Council Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50126-2 About right. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? No. Infrastructure wouldn't cope. FDC Planning not able to cope. 50126-3 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? All village settlements should have provision for light industrial units. 50126-4

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Elizabeth Name

Surname Mugova Surname

Position Sustainable Places Planning Advisor Organisation

Organisation Environment Agency

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50021-1

If there is an aim to go above the calculated housing need, evidence will need to be provided to demonstrate that all housing can be accommodated within areas of low flood risk. Developments within areas of flood risk that go beyond the housing need will be resisted unless there is a clear and demonstrable need for it that outweighs the flood risk posed by intensification of development within the floodplain

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

ConsulteeAgentTitleMrTitleFirst NameGeoffNameSurnameNewhamSurnamePositionOrganisation

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50015-2

I think the end date is highly ambitious.

The current Local Plan has been in place for just 5 years, and look how long that took to come into being. And, already, its demise is imminent. Yes, the vision should look that far ahead, but it can't be written in stone.

The aim for a housing strategy that will reach 20 years ahead and expect it to remain appropriate and valid

against unknown, constantly evolving criteria is more than ambitious. It borders on fantasy. Any 'plan' must build in flexibility, adaptability and aim, probably, for a higher number of units. That would be something that could be adjusted to meet demand, economical need and available resources. There need to be periodic appraisals and assessments to monitor progress against the housing demand and the economical

Climate, perhaps every 4 or 5 years, or upon any other significant events.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50015-3

See comment above.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

50015-4

I think that Wisbech and its close environs towards March should try to gain a foothold on the Cambridge phenomenon.

We should increase the infrastructure links, road and rail, between here and Cambridge, especially rail. By siting silicon, medical and research centres outside a congested city, into our area would attract high quality

jobs and reduce the high cost of housing in Cambridge.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Stewart Name

Surname Patience Surname

Position Spatial Planning Manager Organisation

Organisation Anglian Water Services Ltd

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

We understand that a number of local authorities in the Oxford-Cambridge Arc are intending to undertake Local Plan Reviews to address the Government's aspirations for further economic and housing growth to 2050. As an infrastructure provider it would be helpful if the plan period was consistent with the other local plans being prepared within the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. Similarly it would be helpful if the timescales for Local Plan Reviews are aligned as far as is practicable.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Alex

Surname Patrick

Position

Agent

Title

Title

Name

Surname

Organisation

Organisation Alexandra Design

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2037 is sufficient due to the lack of housing that is present at the moment. 550 should be the norm when in fact 425 is the actual. Based on the above over 18 years there would be a deficit of 975 over 18 years compared to a deficit of 2,250 which is what the assumed number could be achieved. Things will change a lot in 18 years, 21 is pushing the limits.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

New employment land needs to be open to all not just the main roads into town. There are many local companies set in villages. Villages need the public to visit and appreciate the history and business the locals can provide. At current the legislation prevents business in in villages/outskirts.

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mrs	Title	
First Name	J	Name	
Surname	Richardson	Surname	
Position		Organisation	
Organisatio	n Benwick Parish Council		
50040.2	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?		
50040-2	Unless you are going to change how you do business you should put a target that is near historical norms 2040 is a good date to work for future evaluation.		
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?		
50040-3	Do not just build to reach a target, are the jobs here to support the residents. Homes can only be purchased by people with money i.e jobs No we should not go higher it would change the landscape too much and loose the character of the fens.		
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
		nged to small industrial sites, including redundant agricultural buildings.	

Consultee		Agent
Title		Title
First Name	Bryan	Name
Surname	Rose	Surname
Position		Organisation

Organisation

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- At present I believe the current approach to a local plan and the governments requests are wrong. Recent enviormental disasters all over the country have highlighted that we are not planning correctly. before setting dates we truly need to understand what we what to achieve, where this is possible and what needs to be changed if needed.
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- No we should not go higher and should be questioning any government targets. It is criminal to accept policies without proper understanding of what is achievable. the government and Fenland are just quoting figures without any knowledge for what the current capacity is.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- We should be focusing on traditional jobs. We should keep this jobs focused in the larger towns, where the current infrastructure is in place or can be easily upgraded.

employment land?

Consultee Agent Title Title Name Gerald First Name Surname Seabrook Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? No 50094-1 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Pam Surname Shippey Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50075-1 I think 2038 as an end date is preferable. Why wait three years? 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new

Consultee Agent Title Title Name Tim First Name Surname Slater Surname Organisation Position Peter Humphrey Associates Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 2040 end date for LP is sensible /ok. 50043-1 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? As target is minimum this gives opportunities for growth above figure this should circumstances enable it. 50043-2 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

 Consultee
 Agent

 Title
 Title

 First Name
 Name
 Rosanna

 Surname
 St John's College
 Surname
 Metcalfe

 Position
 Organisation
 Savills (UK) Ltd

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50118-1

We support the use of the Government's standard method as the starting point for identifying the Council's housing requirement over the plan period.

However, as noted in the PPG (paragraph 010 2a-010-20190220), the standard method provides the minimum starting point. This should not be seen as a target.

Paragraph 16 of the NPPF (2019) requires plans to be prepared positively, in a way that is aspirational but deliverable. It is therefore considered that the Council should adopt a higher target than 11,550 homes which should be seen as a minimum.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Mr Title

First Name Jonathan Name

Surname Stone Surname

Position Organisation

Organisation

50026-4

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50026-2 The CCG agrees as it enables the CCG and NHSE to plan for the mid to long term

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

There has been a lot of growth within the CCG footprint in recent years (a population growth of nearly 100,000 since 2013) and there is already considerable growth forecast within the CCG's footprint for the next 20 years (an additional 100,000 homes planned for between 2011 and 2036). This will put great strain on the estate and resources of the health and care systems. The CCG therefore does not believe you should go higher than the minimum housing target. In addition, all developments should include contributions from developers to help mitigate the impact of the subsequent population growth; and Fenland District Council and the CCG should work collaboratively, along with developers, to ensure that population growth impact is mitigated and the quality and availability of services is not negatively impacted.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

With the introduction of the Primary Care Network (PCN) Contract DES, there will be an increased need for clinical pharmacists, social prescribing link workers, physician associates, physiotherapists and paramedics in Fenland. Furthermore, there is a workforce shortage in general across the CCG footprint and our colleagues from the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Sustainability Transformation Partnership (STP) have identified a need for more domiciliary care workers, apprenticeships in gateway roles such as health and care assistants and more community based and outreach roles around the health and social care environment. the CCG and STP would therefore be happy to engage in discussions with Fenland DC as to how such roles could be filled in the future and what locations would be suitable.

Consultee		Agent	
Title	Mr	Title	
First Name	Robert	Name	
Surname	Taylor	Surname	
Position	,	Organisation	
Organisatio	n		
50085-2	2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? The plan should extend beyond the requirement, this allows a period of flex should it become difficult to present a new plan in 2037		
	2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?		
50085-3	We should build above the gov requirement, we could then have housing that the people want and not be forced into developing etstates because we were behind on targets		
	2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?		
50085-4	Localised high tech, homeworking, moving away from tavel needs		

Consultee Agent Title Title Name Richard First Name Surname Tester Surname Organisation Position Organisation 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50088-2 I agree with 2040. The process of producing a plan is long and sometimes onerous, so doesn't want to be any shorter. Also 20 is roughly 'a generation' so, by the time the next plan is due there will another generation to take on the challenge. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? I don't think Fenland should put in for more houses than the minimum housing target, which i feel is too 50088-3 high for a mainly agricultural area. Looking at the towns in our District there are a lot of underutilised brownfield sites which could be used for housing, especially for families working and going to school in the towns. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? I firmly believe that employment should be centred around the towns which already exist. There are 50088-4 enough problems trying to fill all the industrial properties in our towns without trying to do the same in areas outside the main populated areas. Looking carefully at how the underutilised factory spaces and shops could be redeveloped should be a priority.

ConsulteeAgentTitleTitleNameKimberleySurnameThis Land LimitedPositionOrganisationCarter Jonas LLP

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50120-2

The previous plan-making processes across Cambridgeshire sought to align the plan periods of the various Local Plans to reflect the fact that some of the evidence was gathered on a county-wide basis, and to provide some consistency on strategic matters. It is unfortunate that the plan periods for the various Local Plans in Cambridgeshire could not be aligned. There is some benefits in terms of planning for housing, infrastructure, and transport to have consistency. The emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan being prepared jointly by Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire is likely to ask a similar question about the end date for that plan, including whether it should be to 2040. It is noted that national guidance expects Local Plans to be kept up to date, regulations require Councils to assess at least every five years whether a Local Plan should be reviewed, and the outcome of the standard method for calculating local housing needs is time limited and subject to change as new data is published. It is agreed that a plan period ending in 2040 would be appropriate, and consistent with the likely end date for the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50120-3

As set out in Paragraph 010 (Id. 2a) of the Planning Practice Guidance, the standard method for assessing local housing need provides a minimum starting point for determining the number of homes needed. Paragraph 010 identifies other factors which might indicate that an increase to the housing need figure should be made, including growth strategies, strategic infrastructure and unmet needs from neighbouring areas. It is considered that these factors should be taken into account to determine whether the housing target should be increased above the figure derived from the standard method. In addition, Paragraph 024 (Id. 2a) of the PPG also suggests an increase to the housing target should be made if it could help deliver the required levels of affordable housing. It is noted that the delivery of affordable housing in Fenland is low compared with overall policy requirements – see Table 7 of the latest Annual Monitoring Report 2017/18 (March 2019). The historic under-delivery of affordable housing in Fenland indicates that an uplift should be made to the housing target to increase the supply of affordable housing.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Sus

Organisation

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Katie Name

Surname Thornley Surname

Position Senior Finance Manager

Agent

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

We think this is about right as it supports the STP to plan its health services in the medium to long term.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

There is a balance to be struck between the number of houses needed for the Fenland area and the pressure this puts on local services, so if additional houses are delivered above the national policy requirement they need to be accompanied by addition developer contributions to deliver services

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

The STP has a number of health and social care positions that we struggle to hire enough of and so we would welcome dialogue about these roles. An extensive piece of workforce planning has been undertaken across the System. Although we recognise that there is more to be done we think this is an excellent start and it would be useful to have conversations with yourself about this. In particular we would like to encourage more domiciliary care workers, apprenticeships in gateway roles such as health and care assistants and more community based and outreach roles around the health and social care environment. We also recognise the need to improve digital skills in all roles and this is one of the areas we explore more thoroughly in the Long Term Plan, which we will share once it is finalised (expected in the next month or so).

Across Cambridgeshire there is a need to balance the Research and Development related jobs which are clustered around Cambridge particularly in the Bio-medical field, with the need to create skilled manufacturing jobs which result from Bio-medical R&D, there is an opportunity to locate these skilled manufacturing jobs in the Fenland area

Consultee		Agent	
Title		Title	Mr
First Name		Name	Simon
Surname	Triman Developments (UK) Limited an	Surname	Machen
Position	, , ,	Organisation	Barmach Ltd
Organisation			

- 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?
- 50084-2 2040 is a sensible end date, although there would be benefit in considering strategic locations for growth beyond that given the significant lead in time to deliver major strategic schemes
 - 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- Fenland has the physical capacity to accommodate much higher levels of housing growth where this is supported by infrastructure investment. This would help to alleviate pressure on settlements in the south of Cambridgeshire and particularly Cambridge city and its Greenbelt.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?
- It is essential that infrastructure is planned and that funding secured to enable employment growth through a better connected district and its wider employment market. There is significant potential for manufacturing to support the research and development focus of Cambridge. There should also be a clear focus on higher value jobs linked to an improved education offer. The market towns are the natural focus for employment growth with support for rural diversification.

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Nolan Name

Surname Tucker

Position Organisation

Organisation Deloitte

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50014-2 Whilst we agree extending the plan period to 2040 is more appropriate than continuing to use 2037 as an end date, we would question whether the plan period needs to be extended further.

The NPPF (paragraph 22) states strategic policies should look ahead over a minimum 15 year period. In this instance, the Council have stated that the Local Plan could be adopted by 2022 and therefore 2040 is an appropriate time period. However we believe an adoption date of 2022 is ambitious given the Local Plan Review has only just commenced and there are a number of rounds of further consultation to undertake followed by an Examination period. On this basis it may be the case that the Local Plan is only adopted close to 2023 by which point a further review may be required.

We therefore believe it may be more appropriate to extend the Local Plan period further in order to provide further certainty towards the future housing and employment requirements for Fenland. We believe this change to the Local Plan period would ensure full compliance with parts A and C of Paragraph 35 of the NPPF.

- 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?
- We believe it would be more appropriate to use a higher figure for the housing requirement. This would ensure that the Local Plan is positively prepared and that sufficient land is identified in order to meet future housing needs. This approach would also provide added robustness to the Local Plan and ensure compliance with the NPPF.
 - 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent Title Title Name First Name Kate Surname Waller Surname Organisation Position Organisation Elm Parish Council 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why? 50030-2 As the previous plan has been reviewed within five years it would seem more realistic to look at a shorter term plan of 10 years which would be able to reflect the changing demand for new homes based on the planned improved rail and road links. 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why? Unless the local infrastructure if improved before additional homes are built additional growth cannot be 50030-3 supported. 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land? The council believes that the development of employment land should focus on existing employment 50030-4 areas, with improved public transport links to these areas. Their preference would be for jobs in green technology development and much needed social care provision, to support the aging population, including the provision of care homes in village locations.

Consultee Agent Title Mr Title Name First Name Lawrence Surname Surname Weetman Organisation Position Chairman Organisation Chatteris Past, Present & Future

> 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50024-2 We believe that 15 years is already a long time for a local plan to stand still. We feel that the selection of 2040 as an end date seems relatively arbitrary, without any reasoning given in the consultation document for the selection of three extra years. Since a lot can change in 15 years - and indeed has since the last plan was created in 2014 - we think that Fenland should consider opting for a shorter time period and reviewing the plan as early as possible to ensure that it is still fit for our changing society

> 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

We think that Fenland District Council shouldn't opt for a target greater than the minimum housing 50024-3

> We believe that the council could still choose to exceed its target, so setting a target that is higher than this would provide little extra value. Additionally, the consultation document reports that Fenland has already failed to meet the targets set in the 2014 plan. Since the evidence shows that we're already struggling to meet the existing targets, trying to meet a higher target seems likely to fail.

We would also suggest that Fenland District Council considers pushing back against targets set nationally:

- The consultation document says that "Fenland has a high proportion of high-quality agricultural land; in accordance with national policy this must be protected." It occurs to us that a housing target set at the national level, with little local knowledge, is likely to result in a loss of some of this valuable farming land.
- We also suggest that Fenland District Council may wish to assess the number of suitable sites identified via the "Form B" identification process as part of this consultation when determining how viable any targets set are likely to be.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

We think that there could be some interesting opportunities for building employment opportunities in 50024-4 the following areas:

> • Focusing on AgriTech, combining local expertise in agriculture with the nearby technological expertise of Cambridge. • The encouragement of apprenticeships for traditional trades (such as traditional building techniques, metalwork, etc), in order to support the wealth of heritage buildings within Fenland. • Coworking areas and spaces, which would allow commuters living in Fenland to spend some of their time working remotely within Fenland, helping them to engage with their local area some more.

Useful insights and sources:

AgriTech East: https://www.agritech-east.co.uk/ UK Department of Trade article on AgriTech: https://agfundernews.com/how-the-uk-is-becoming-a-global-leader-in-agritech.html

Heritage Apprenticeships via Historic England: https://historicengland.org.uk/services-skills/trainingskills/work-based-training/heritage-apprenticeships/ The National Heritage Training Group:

https://www.the-nhtg.org.uk/Harvard Business Review, "Why People Thrive in Co-Working Spaces": https://hbr.org/2015/05/why-people-thrive-in-coworking-spaces Forbes: "How Co-Working Spaces are Helping to Create a Sense of Community":

https://www.forbes.com/sites/daniellebrooker/2019/07/24/how-co-working-spaces-are-helping-to-create-tru e-sense-of-community-and-why-we-need-it-right-now/#32cb304c5b53

Consultee Agent

Title Title

First Name Alan Name

Surname Wheeldon Surname

Position Organisation

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

Yes but there should be progress reports every 5 years to see if any progress is being made and if not why not so that they can be addressed.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

Yes as there is a need for more housing. The issue is that extra housing should not be built without consideration to its effects on the quality of life for those already living here or new folks moving into the area. With that in mind Developers must not be allowed to cram as many houses into a plot that they can without due consideration to the present infrastructure. In filling should be discouraged. Any new developments must make access and adequate parking a priority, each dwelling having room for at least two cars. Plus there should be suitable places for wheely bins that are not left scattered out the front of dwellings for everyone to see. This is particularly true if flats are to be included in any development. To reduce impact on current established towns new developments should be created as stand alone satellite mini towns and developments with their own facilities e.g. doctors/schools and shops so that present towns don't get overwhelmed by the increase in numbers.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

One area ignored regarding job creation is tourism. It is massively under resourced. Tourism in Cambridge for example is responsible for 17% of all employment.

That aside the area in Wisbech that is best suited to industrial and employment is that to the south of New Drove and either side and south of Boleness road and New Bridge Lave right up to the A47. This would create a dedicated area away from residential areas and could have access directly onto the A47 and in reach of the A1101.

ConsulteeAgentTitleMrTitleFirst NameRayNameSurnameWhitwellSurnamePositionOrganisation

Organisation

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50107-2 Happy with 2040.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50107-3 11550 is ok.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Mr Title

First Name Ray Name

Surname Whitwell Surname

Position Organisation

Organisation

50108-4

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50108-2 2040 is ok.

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

50108-3 11550 is a good target.

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Existing industrial areas should be expanded ensuring road network to serve them is fit for purpose.

Consultee Agent Title Title Mrs Name First Name Pat Surname Wilkinson Surname Organisation Position

Newton-in-the-Isle Parish Council Organisation

> 2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

50048-2 Agree.

> 2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

No 50048-3

Organisation

2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?

Consultee Agent

Title Title

Name First Name Geoff

Surname Surname Wilkinson

Organisation Position

Wisbech St Mary Parish Council

2a) This new Plan must have an end date of at least 2037. We think 2040 is about right. What do you think, and why?

2b) Government policy says our minimum housing target should be 11,550 new homes, to 2040. To attempt to go lower would highly likely breach national policy, but we could go higher. Should we? If so, why?

The parish needs to grow and will need more homes. 50047-1

> 2c) We will undertake research to determine what appropriate job growth and employment land targets should be, and consult with you at the next stage on these findings. In the meantime, do you have any views on what sort of jobs we should try to facilitate, and what locations would be suitable for new employment land?