

Agenda Item No:	5	
Committee:	Corporate Governance Committee	
Date:	05 November 2019	
Report Title:	Appointed Auditor – Audit Results Report (ISA260)	

Cover sheet:

1 Purpose / Summary

To consider the Audit Results Report (ISA260) from the Council's appointed independent external auditor - EY (Ernst and Young).

2 Key issues

- EY will present their Audit Results Report arising from their duties under International Auditing Standard 260, following the completion of their audit of the Council's Statement of Accounts 2018/19.
- The Draft Audit Results Report (ISA260) is attached. EY are currently finalising their work in a number of areas and will provide a verbal update to Members at the meeting.

3 Recommendations

- It is recommended that Members note the content of the report.

Wards Affected	All
Forward Plan Reference	N/A
Portfolio Holder(s)	Cllr Chris Boden, Leader and Portfolio Holder for Finance
Report Originator(s)	Peter Catchpole, Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer Mark Saunders, Chief Accountant
Contact Officer(s)	Peter Catchpole, Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer Mark Saunders, Chief Accountant
Background Paper(s)	

Fenland District Council Audit Results Report

Year ended 31 March 2019

1 November 2019



Building a better
working world



Corporate Governance Committee
Fenland District Council

1 November 2019

Dear Corporate Governance Committee Members

We are pleased to attach our Audit Results Report for the forthcoming meeting of the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019. This report summarises our preliminary audit conclusion in relation to the audit of Fenland District Council for 2018/19.

We have substantially completed our audit of Fenland District Council for the year ended 31 March 2019. Subject to concluding the outstanding matters listed in our report, we can confirm that we expect to issue an unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements in the form at Section 3. We also have no matters to report on your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on your use of resources.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Corporate Governance Committee, other members of the Authority, and senior management. It should not be used for any other purpose or given to any other party without obtaining our written consent.

We would like to thank your staff for their help during the engagement.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the contents of this report with you at the Corporate Governance Committee meeting on 5 November 2019.

Yours faithfully

Mark Hodgson

Associate Partner

For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Encl

Contents

01 Executive Summary

02

Areas of Audit Focus

03

Audit Report

04

Audit Differences

05

Value for Money



06

Other reporting issues

07

Assessment of Control Environment

08

Independence

09

Appendices

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) have issued a 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies'. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (www.psa.co.uk). This Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas. The 'Terms of Appointment (updated April 2018)' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Results Report is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure - If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.



01 Executive Summary

Executive Summary

Scope update

In our Audit Plan presented to the 24 January 2019 Corporate Governance Committee, we provided you with an overview of our audit scope and approach for the audit of the statement of accounts. We carried out our audit in accordance with this plan, with the following exception:

- ▶ Changes in materiality: We updated our planning materiality assessment using the draft financial statements and have also reconsidered our risk assessment. Based on our materiality measure of gross expenditure in the provision of services, we have updated our overall materiality to £1.1 million (Audit Plan: £1.135 million). This results in updated performance materiality, at 2% of overall materiality, of £825,000, and an updated threshold for reporting misstatements of £55,000.

Status of the audit

We have substantially completed our audit of Fenland's statement of accounts for the year ended 31 March 2019 and have performed the procedures outlined in our Audit Plan. Subject to satisfactory completion of the following outstanding items we expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the Council's financial statements in the form which appears at Section 3. However until work is complete, further amendments may arise.

The outstanding work at the date of this report is:

- ▶ Property, Plant and Equipment valuation testing;
- ▶ Debtors and creditors testing;
- ▶ NNDR Appeals Provisions testing;
- ▶ Capital grants receipts in advance testing;
- ▶ Reserves;
- ▶ Completion of Income and Expenditure testing;
- ▶ Journals testing;
- ▶ Leases testing;
- ▶ Payroll, officers remuneration and exit packages review and testing;
- ▶ Financial instruments review and testing;
- ▶ Related Party Transactions testing;

Executive Summary

Status of the audit (continued)

- ▶ review of the final version of the financial statements;
- ▶ completion of subsequent events review;
- ▶ receipt of the signed management representation letter;
- ▶ completion of procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) regarding the Whole of Government Accounts submission; and
- ▶ final Manager and Engagement Partner reviews.

We will update the Committee on progress of these items at the meeting on the 5 November 2019. We expect to issue the audit certificate at the same time as the audit opinion.

In addition to the above, there has been a national issue which has required a late and pervasive change to the accounts and related IAS19 Pensions liability disclosures. It relates to legal rulings regarding age discrimination arising from public sector pension scheme transitional arrangements, commonly described as the McCloud ruling. The draft accounts have recognised this matter as a contingent liability in line with the recognised position as at the year-end and industry guidance on the matter.

However, since the year-end there has been additional evidence, including the legal ruling by the Supreme Court on 27 June 2019 which rejected the Government's appeal, which suggested that the amounts should in fact be able to be fully calculated and so included within the financial statements. In addition, there has been some movement on the Guaranteed Minimum Pension ruling, which has also had an impact on the pension liability.

In summary, the changes would increase the past service costs and in turn the pensions liability figure by £428,000.

Executive Summary

Audit differences

There is an unadjusted audit difference as a result of the McCloud and GMP issues presented in the above section. This difference would increase the defined benefit pension liability by £428,000 and this would also be reflected in the past service costs. We request that the uncorrected misstatement be corrected or a rationale as to why they are not corrected be considered and approved by the Corporate Governance Committee and provided within the Letter of Representation.

There are no other unadjusted or adjusted audit differences arising from our audit.

We identified some presentational and disclosure issues which have been adjusted by management, and are not detailed in this report. Until our work is complete, further amendments may arise. We will update the Corporate Governance Committee should any further adjustments arise from our remaining work.

Areas of audit focus

Our Audit Plan identified key areas of focus for our audit of Fenland's statement of accounts. This report sets out our observations and conclusions, including our views on areas which might be conservative, and where there is potential risk and exposure. We summarise our consideration of these matters, and any others identified, in the "Key Audit Issues" section of this report.

We ask you to review these and any other matters in this report to ensure:

- ▶ There are no other considerations or matters that could have an impact on these issues
- ▶ You agree with the resolution of the issue
- ▶ There are no other significant issues to be considered.

There are no matters, apart from those reported by management or disclosed in this report, which we believe should be brought to the attention of the Corporate Governance Committee.

Control observations

We have adopted a fully substantive approach, so have not tested the operation of controls.



Executive Summary

Value for money

We have considered your arrangements to take informed decisions; deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and work with partners and other third parties. In our Audit Plan we identified one significant risks relating to:

- ▶ Achievement of savings needed over the medium term

We have included in Section 5 the detailed work we carried out in response to this risk. We are satisfied that the Authority has proper arrangements in place in respect for taking informed decisions and deploying resources in a sustainable manner. We have no matters to report about your arrangements to secure economy efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

Other reporting issues

We have reviewed the information presented in the Annual Governance Statement for consistency with our knowledge of the Authority. As a result of this work, we identified that the figure for the savings needed up to 2023/24 needs to be updated to £1.665 million to reflect the latest version of the Medium Term Financial Strategy (approved in July 2019).

We have yet to perform the procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts submission. However, as the Council is below the testing threshold set by the NAO for detailed procedures on the consolidation return, we do not expect to have any issues to report.

We have no other matters to report.

Independence

We have no matters relating to our Independence to bring to your attention.

Please refer to Section 8 for our update on Independence.

Correspondence from the Public

We have not received any correspondence from members of the public. We did not receive any formal objections or questions from members of the public.



02


Areas of Audit Focus



Areas of Audit Focus

Significant risk

Misstatements due to fraud or error



What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

Linking to our risk of fraud we have considered the capitalisation of revenue expenditure on Property, Plant and Equipment. The specific procedures undertaken to address this are set out on the next page. This page details the standard procedures we undertake to respond to the risk of fraud and error on every engagement.

What did we do and judgements are we focused on?

In order to address this risk we undertook the following audit procedures:

- ▶ Identified fraud risks during the planning stages;
- ▶ Inquired of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks;
- ▶ Documented our understanding of the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- ▶ Considered the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- ▶ Tested the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements;
- ▶ Reviewed the accounting estimates for evidence of management bias; and
- ▶ Evaluated the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.

ISA 240 mandates we perform procedures on: accounting estimates, significant unusual transactions and journal entries to ensure they are appropriate and in line with expectations of the business.

What are our conclusions?

From our work to date, we have not identified any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override, any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied or any management bias in accounting estimates or any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Council's normal course of business.


We are currently concluding our work in this area.



Areas of Audit Focus

Significant risk

Incorrect capitalisation of revenue expenditure



What is the risk?

Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper revenue recognition. In the public sector, this requirement is modified by Practice Note 10 issued by the Financial Reporting Council, which states that auditors should also consider the risk that material misstatements may occur by the manipulation of expenditure recognition.

As the Council is more focused on its financial position over medium term, we have considered the risk of manipulation to be more prevalent in the inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure on Property, Plant and Equipment given the extent of the Council's capital programme (see above).

What did we do and what judgements are we focused on?

In order to address this risk we carried out a range of procedures including:

- ▶ Obtaining an analysis of capital additions in the year, reconciling to the Fixed Assets Register (FAR), and reviewing the descriptions to identify whether there are any potential items that could be revenue in nature;
- ▶ Performing sample testing on additions to Property, Plant and Equipment, ensuring that they had been correctly classified as capital and included at the correct value, to identify any revenue items that have been inappropriately capitalised; and
- ▶ Testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger moving expenditure items from revenue codes to capital codes.

What are our conclusions

Our testing to date has not identified any items incorrectly classified as revenue expenditure.



Areas of Audit Focus

Other Areas of Audit Focus

Valuation of land and buildings - inherent risk

What is the risk?

The fair value of Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) and Investment Properties (IP) represent significant balances in the Council's accounts and are subject to valuation changes, impairment reviews and depreciation charges. Management is required to make material judgemental inputs and apply estimation techniques to calculate the year-end balances recorded in the balance sheet.

The Council will engage an external expert (valuer) who will apply a number of complex assumptions to these assets. Assets are assessed annually to identify whether there is any indication of impairment. As the Council's asset base is significant, and the outputs from the valuer are subject to estimation, there is a risk that these assets may be misstated.

ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of experts and assumptions underlying fair value estimates.

What did we do and what judgements are we focused on?

In order to address this risk we carried out a range of procedures including:

- ▶ We have considered the work performed by the Council's valuer, including the adequacy of the scope of the work performed, their professional capabilities and the results of their work;
- ▶ We have undertaken sample testing key asset information used by the valuer in performing their valuation (e.g. floor plans to support valuations based on price per square metre);
- ▶ We have considered the annual cycle of valuations to ensure that assets have been valued within a 5 year rolling programme as required by the Code for PPE. We also considered whether there were any specific changes to assets and that these had been communicated to the valuer;
- ▶ Reviewed assets not subject to valuation in 2018/19 and confirmed that the remaining asset base is not materially misstated;
- ▶ Considered changes to useful economic lives as a result of the most recent valuation; and
- ▶ Tested accounting entries had been correctly processed in the financial statements.

What are our conclusions

Following full consideration of their work, we have placed reliance on the Council's valuation expert.

Our testing to date has not identified any material misstatements from inappropriate judgements being applied to the property valuation estimates. We are currently concluding our work in this area and will provide an update at the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019.



Areas of Audit Focus

Other Areas of Audit Focus

Pension Liability Valuation - Inherent Risk

What is the risk?

The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the Council to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by Cambridgeshire County Council.

The Council's pension fund deficit is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability be disclosed on the Council's balance sheet. At 31 March 2018 this totalled £64.4 million.

The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the Council by the actuary to the County Council. Accounting for this scheme involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf.

ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates

What did we do and what judgements are we focused on?

We have performed the following procedures:

- ▶ Liaised with the auditors of Cambridgeshire Pension Fund, and obtained assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to Fenland District Council;
- ▶ Assessed the work of the Pension Fund actuary (Hymans Robertson) including the assumptions they have used by relying on the work of PwC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by National Audit Office for all Local Government sector auditors, and considered any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and
- ▶ Reviewed and tested the accounting entries and disclosures made within Fenland District Council's financial statements in relation to IAS19.

What are our conclusions

We have assessed and are satisfied with the competency and objectivity of the Council's actuary. EY pensions team and PwC (Consulting Actuary to the NAO) have reviewed the work of the actuaries and have deemed the assumptions used to be reasonable. In addition, there is an ongoing national issue in relation to IAS19 pension fund liability disclosures. It relates to legal rulings regarding age discrimination arising from public sector pension scheme transitional arrangements, commonly described as the McCloud ruling.

The draft financial statements had recognised this matter as a contingent liability. However, since the year-end there have been some movement in the understanding and assessment of the likely outcome and in the potential impact of any outcome, which has led to the need for a re-assessment of the scheme liabilities under IAS19, together with supporting disclosure notes. The Guaranteed Minimum Pension ruling has also had an impact on the pension liability.

In summary, the changes would increase the past service costs and in turn the pensions liability figure by approximately £0.428 million. Management have chosen not to adjust the financial statements for this item. We request that the uncorrected misstatement be corrected or a rationale as to why they are not corrected be considered and approved by the Corporate Governance Committee and provided within the Letter of Representation.



Areas of Audit Focus

Other Areas of Audit Focus

New Accounting Standards – inherent risk

What is the risk?

The CIPFA Code of practice on local authority accounting (the Code) requires the Council to comply with the requirements of two new accounting standards for 2018/19. These standards are:

IFRS 9 financial instruments:

This new accounting standard will change how financial assets are classified and measured, how the impairment of financial assets are calculated; and the disclosure requirements for financial assets.

There are transitional arrangements within the standard; and the 2018/19 Code provides guidance on the application of IFRS 9. However, until the Guidance Notes are issued and any statutory overrides are confirmed there remains some uncertainty on the accounting treatment.

IFRS 15 Revenue from contracts:

The key requirements of the standard cover the identification of performance obligations under customer contracts and the linking of income to the meeting of those performance obligations.

The 2018/19 Code provides guidance on the application of IFRS 15 and includes a useful flow diagram and commentary on the main sources of LG revenue and how they should be recognised.

The impact on local authority accounting is likely to be limited as large revenue streams like council tax, non domestic rates and government grants will be outside the scope of IFRS 15. However where that standard is relevant, the recognition of revenue will change and new disclosure requirements introduced

What did we do and what judgements are we focused on?

- ▶ Assessed the Council's implementation arrangements and impact assessment of the application of the new standard, transitional adjustments and accounting for 2018/19;
- ▶ Considered the classification and valuation of financial instrument assets;
- ▶ Reviewed the new expected credit loss model impairment calculations for assets;
- ▶ Considered the application to the Council's revenue streams, and where relevant tested to ensure revenue is recognised when (or as) it satisfies a performance obligation; and
- ▶ Checked additional disclosure requirements.

What are our conclusions

We concur with management that the introduction of these standards are not material to the Council's financial statements.



03 Audit Report



Audit Report

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF FENLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of Fenland District Council for the year ended 31 March 2019 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. The financial statements comprise the Movement in Reserves Statement, Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, Balance Sheet, Cash Flow Statement, the related notes 1 to 41, the Expenditure and Funding Analysis to the Council Accounts, and Collection Fund and the related notes 1 to 6.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of Fenland District Council as at 31 March 2019 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report below. We are independent of the authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard and the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) AGN01, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- the Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officers' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- the Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer has not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the “*Statement of Accounts 2018/2019*”, other than the financial statements and our auditor’s report thereon. The Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the other information.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters prescribed by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014

Arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, Fenland District Council put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2019.

Matters on which we report by exception

We report to you if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information forthcoming from the audit or our knowledge of the Council;
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make written recommendations to the audited body under Section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We have nothing to report in these respects.

Responsibility of the Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer

As explained more fully in the “*Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer’s Responsibilities*” set out on page 19, the Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

In preparing the financial statements, the Corporate Director and Chief Finance Officer is responsible for assessing the Authority’s ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Authority either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

Auditor’s responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council’s website at <https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities>. This description forms part of our auditor’s report.

Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, as to whether the Fenland District Council had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criterion as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the Fenland District Council put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2019.



Audit Report - continued

Our proposed opinion on the financial statements

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, Fenland District Council had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO) requires us to report to you our conclusion relating to proper arrangements.

We report if significant matters have come to our attention which prevent us from concluding that the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the accounts of Fenland District Council in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of Fenland District Council, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.



04 Audit Differences





Audit Differences

In the normal course of any audit, we identify misstatements between amounts we believe should be recorded in the financial statements and the disclosures and amounts actually recorded. These differences are classified as “known” or “judgemental”. Known differences represent items that can be accurately quantified and relate to a definite set of facts or circumstances. Judgemental differences generally involve estimation and relate to facts or circumstances that are uncertain or open to interpretation.

Summary of adjusted differences

We highlight the following misstatements greater than £55,000 which have been corrected by management that were identified during the course of our audit:

We have not identified any misstatements in the financial statements during the audit above this level.

Disclosure Adjustments:

The level of disclosure adjustments is limited, and we do not deem any to merit being included in this report.

Summary of unadjusted differences

McCloud Judgement

As noted in the Executive Summary, a national issue has resulted in a relatively late change to the Cambridgeshire Pension fund accounts and IAS 19 fund liability disclosure. It relates to legal rulings regarding age discrimination arising from public sector pension scheme transitional arrangements, commonly described as the McCloud ruling. The draft pension fund accounts did recognise this matter as a contingent liability.

However, since the year-end there have been some movement in the understanding and assessment of the likely outcome and in the potential impact of any outcome, which has led to the need for a re-assessment of the scheme liabilities under IAS19, together with supporting disclosure notes.

The Guaranteed Minimum Pension (GMP) ruling has also had an impact on the pension liability. This was also uncertain how the equalisation would be carried out. This has now been finalised. Revised actuarial reports provided by the actuary show an increase in the liability of £0.428 million to the Pension Liabilities as a result of the total adjustments, with further associated disclosure added to recognise this as a source of estimation uncertainty and an unadjusted Post Balance sheet event.

As a result of the McCloud and GMP judgements, the Council has requested the actuary that they re-run the IAS19 report, this showed an increase in the past service cost, which would result in an increase in the defined benefit pension liability of £428,000. The Council has not adjusted the accounts for this difference. This is above our threshold for audit differences but below our performance materiality level of £825,000.

We request that the uncorrected misstatement be corrected or a rationale as to why they are not corrected be considered and approved by the Corporate Governance Committee and provided within the Letter of Representation.




05

Value for Money Risks





Value for Money



Background

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

For 2018/19 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

Financial Resilience and Assessment of the Authority's Reserve Position

As part of our assessment of your proper arrangements, we considered the Council's financial resilience over the medium term and the impact on the level of General Fund balances as at the 31 March 2019 and as at the 31 March 2022.

Our assessment of this is set out on the next page.

Overall conclusion

We are satisfied that the Authority has appropriate arrangements in place with regard to the identified significant risk. Our full assessment is set out on the next page.

We therefore expect having no matters to report about your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.



Value for Money Risks

Our Assessment

In our assessment we considered:

- ▶ The Council's level of savings requirement to balance the General Fund budget in each of the next 3 years;
- ▶ The Council's planned use of reserves to support the General Fund budget in each of the next 3 years;
- ▶ The Council's history of delivering savings plans and therefore the potential to call upon reserves to make up a shortfall in future savings plan delivery;
- ▶ The Council's history of over or under spending on the General Fund budget, and the impact this trajectory would have on the use of General Fund reserves; and
- ▶ Any other considerations upon which the Medium Term Financial Plan is reliant.

As a result of our assessment, we are satisfied that the Council's General Fund reserve balance at the 31 March 2022 will remain above the Council's approved minimum level.



06 Other reporting issues



Other reporting issues

Other reporting issues

Consistency of other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement

We must give an opinion on the consistency of the financial and non-financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2018/19 with the audited financial statements.

We must also review the Annual Governance Statement for completeness of disclosures, consistency with other information from our work, and whether it complies with relevant guidance.

Financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2018/19 and published with the financial statements was consistent with the audited financial statements.

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) and noted that the savings figure up to 2023/24 that is referenced under the 'Governance Issues Raised' section needed to be updated for the latest Medium Term Financial Strategy, which shows further savings of £1.665 million up to 2023/24 (draft version presented savings figure of £1.015 million. The AGS has been amended to reflect this.

We did not identify any other issues with the AGS and can confirm it is consistent with other information from our audit of the financial statements.

Whole of Government Accounts

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review, and the nature of our report, is specified by the National Audit Office.

As the Council falls below the £500 million threshold for review as per the NAO's group instructions, we are not required to undertake detailed procedures on your consolidation schedule.

Other powers and duties

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit, either for the Authority to consider it or to bring it to the attention of the public (i.e. "a report in the public interest"). We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

We also have a duty to make written recommendations to the Authority, copied to the Secretary of State, and take action in accordance with our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. We did not identify any issues.

Other reporting issues

Other matters

As required by ISA (UK&I) 260 and other ISAs specifying communication requirements, we must tell you significant findings from the audit and other matters if they are significant to your oversight of the Council's financial reporting process. They include the following:

- ▶ Significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- ▶ Any significant difficulties encountered during the audit;
- ▶ Any significant matters arising from the audit that were discussed with management;
- ▶ Written representations we have requested;
- ▶ Expected modifications to the audit report;
- ▶ Any other matters significant to overseeing the financial reporting process;
- ▶ Related parties;
- ▶ External confirmations;
- ▶ Going concern; and
- ▶ Consideration of laws and regulations.



07

Assessment of Control Environment



Assessment of Control Environment

Financial controls

It is the responsibility of Fenland District Council to develop and implement systems of internal financial control and to put in place proper arrangements to monitor their adequacy and effectiveness in practice. Our responsibility as your auditor is to consider whether the Suffolk County Council has put adequate arrangements in place to satisfy itself that the systems of internal financial control are both adequate and effective in practice.

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. As we have adopted a fully substantive approach, we have therefore not tested the operation of controls. Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control.

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.



08

Independence

Confirmation



We confirm that there are no changes in our assessment of independence since our confirmation in our audit planning board report dated 24 January 2019.

We complied with the FRC Ethical Standards and the requirements of the PSAA's Terms of Appointment. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning of regulatory and professional requirements.

We consider that our independence in this context is a matter which you should review, as well as us. It is important that the Council and the Corporate Governance Committee consider the facts known to you and come to a view. If you would like to discuss any matters concerning our independence, we will be pleased to do this at the meeting of the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019.

We confirm we have undertaken non-audit work outside the PSAA Code requirements in relation to our work on Port Authority statement of accounts. We have adopted the necessary safeguards in our completion of this work.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards



The FRC Ethical Standard requires that we provide details of all relationships between Ernst & Young (EY) and your Authority, and its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by us and our network to your Authority, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the our integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence and the related safeguards that are in place and why they address the threats.

There are no relationships from 1 April 2018 to the date of this report, which we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and objectivity.

Independence

Services provided by Ernst & Young

Below includes a summary of the fees that you have paid to us in the year ended 31 March 2019 in line with the disclosures set out in FRC Ethical Standard and in statute.

We confirm that none of the services listed in have been provided on a contingent fee basis. As at the date of this report, with the exception of our Reporting Accountant role, set out below, there are no future services which have been contracted and no written proposal to provide non-audit services has been submitted.

Fee analysis

As part of our reporting on our independence, we set out below a summary of the fees paid for the year ended 31 March 2018.

We confirm that we have undertaken non-audit work outside the PSAA Code in respect to the work performed on the Port Authority Accounts. We have adopted the necessary safeguards in completing this work and complied with Auditor Guidance Note 1 issued by the NAO in December 2017.

	Final Fee 2018/19	Planned Fee 2018/19	Scale Fee 2018/19	Final Fee 2017/18
	£'s	£'s	£'s	£'s
Total Audit Fee - Code work	TBC*	37,873	37,873	49,186
Non-audit work - Grant claims	TBC*	14,960	N/A	14,262
Other- Port Authority Work	TBC*	2,600	2,600	2,600
Total non-audit services	TBC*	14,960	N/A	14,262

*The final fee for the 2018/19 account audit is still to be quantified as we have not yet completed the audit. However, we do not anticipate any increases in the fee above the scale fee.

As your appointed auditor for the financial statements audit, we are pleased that for 2018/19 the Council has appointed us to act as reporting accountants in relation to the housing benefit subsidy claim. There is therefore no scale fee prescribed by PSAA as it is now no longer within their remit.

The planned fee shown, is based on the level of error within the current claim and the work required to certify that. This may change dependent on the level of error within the claim under review. We will confirm our final fees following the completion of our work and report this within our Annual Audit Letter.







09

Appendices

Appendix A

Required communications with the Corporate Governance Committee

There are certain communications that we must provide to the “Audit” Committees of UK clients. We have detailed these here together with a reference of when and where they were covered:

 Our Reporting to you		
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Corporate Governance Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA’s appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter.	Audit Plan taken to Corporate Governance Committee 24 January 2019
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified.	Audit Plan taken to Corporate Governance Committee 24 January 2019
Significant findings from the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures ▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit ▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management ▶ Written representations that we are seeking ▶ Expected modifications to the audit report ▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019




Appendix A

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Going concern	<p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty ▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	No conditions or events were identified, either individually or together to raise any doubt about Fenland District Council's ability to continue for the 12 months from the date of our report.
Misstatements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion ▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods ▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected ▶ Material misstatements corrected by management 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Subsequent events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiry of the Corporate Governance committee where appropriate regarding whether any subsequent events have occurred that might affect the financial statements. 	By Letter of Representation request in Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiries of the Corporate Governance Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the Authority ▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist ▶ Unless all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the Authority, any identified or suspected fraud involving: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Management; b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or c. Others where the fraud results in a material misstatement in the financial statements. ▶ The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures necessary to complete the audit when fraud involving management is suspected ▶ Any other matters related to fraud, relevant to Corporate Governance Committee responsibility. 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019





Appendix A

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Related parties	<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the Authority's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Non-disclosure by management ▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions ▶ Disagreement over disclosures ▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations ▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the Authority 	<p>Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019</p>
Independence	<p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence.</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats ▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness ▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards ▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence <p>Communications whenever significant judgments are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place.</p>	<p>Audit Plan taken to Corporate Governance Committee 24 January 2019</p> <p>Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019</p>


Appendix A

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
External confirmations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations ▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures. 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Subject to compliance with applicable regulations, matters involving identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, other than those which are clearly inconsequential and the implications thereof. Instances of suspected non-compliance may also include those that are brought to our attention that are expected to occur imminently or for which there is reason to believe that they may occur ▶ Enquiry of the "audit" committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the "audit" committee may be aware of 	We have asked management and those charged with governance. We have not identified any material instances or non-compliance with laws and regulations.
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit. 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019

Appendix A


		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where
Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Auditors report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report 	Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019
Fee Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Breakdown of fee information when the audit planning report is agreed ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit ▶ Any non-audit work 	<p>Audit Plan taken to Corporate Governance Committee 24 January 2019</p> <p>and</p> <p>Audit Results Report presented to the Corporate Governance Committee on 5 November 2019</p>
Certification work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Summary of certification work 	Certification Report - February 2020

Request for a Management Representation Letter



EY
Building a better working world

Ernst & Young LLP Tel: + 44 1223 394400
One Cambridge Business Park/Fax: + 44 1223 394401
Cambridge ey.com
CB4 0WZ



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Peter Catchpole
Corporate Director and S151 Officer
Fenland District Council
Fenland Hall
County Road,
March
Cambridgeshire
PE15 8NQ

1 November 2019

Ref:
Your ref:

Direct line: 01223 394547

Email: MHodgson@uk.ey.com

Dear Peter,

**Fenland District Council – 2018/19 financial year
Request for a letter of representation**

International Standards on Auditing set out guidance on the use by auditors of management representations (ISA (UK&I) 580) and on possible non-compliance with laws and regulations (ISA (UK&I) 250). I have interpreted this guidance as it affects Local Government bodies and I expect the following points to apply:

- auditors may wish to obtain written representation where they are relying on management's representations in respect of judgemental matters (for example the level of likely incidence of a claim), which may not be readily corroborated by other evidence;
- auditors are likely to request written representations on the completeness of information provided;
- auditors may wish to obtain written representation on issues other than those directly related to the Statement of Accounts;
- the letter is dated on the date on which the auditor signs the opinion and certificate;
- the letter is signed by the person or persons with specific responsibility for the financial statements; and
- the letter is formally acknowledged as having been discussed and approved by the Audit Committee, as those charged with governance of the Council.


I would expect the letter of representation to include the following matters.

General statement

That the letter of representations is provided in connection with our audit of the financial statements of Fenland District Council ("the Council") for the year ended 31 March 2019.

That you recognise that obtaining representations from you concerning the information contained in this letter is a significant procedure in enabling us to form an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view of the Council financial position of Fenland District Council as of 31 March 2019 and of its income and expenditure for the year then ended in accordance with CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited. A list of members' names is available for inspection at 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF, the firm's principal place of business and registered office.



EY
Building a better working world

2

You understand that the purpose of our audit of your financial statements is to express an opinion thereon and that our audit is conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), which involves an examination of the accounting system, internal control and related data to the extent we considered necessary in the circumstances, and is not designed to identify - nor necessarily be expected to disclose - all fraud, shortages, errors and other irregularities, should any exist.

Accordingly, you make the following representations, which are true to the best of your knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as you considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

A. Financial Statements and Financial Records

1. That you have fulfilled your responsibilities, under the relevant statutory authorities, for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with, for the Council the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.
2. That you acknowledge as members of management of the Council, your responsibility for the fair presentation of the council's financial statements. We believe the council financial statements referred to above give a true and fair view of the financial position, financial performance (or results of operations) and cash flows of the Council in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19 and are free of material misstatements, including omissions. We have approved the council financial statements.
3. That the significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriately described in the financial statements.
4. As members of management of the Council, we believe that the Council has a system of internal controls adequate to enable the preparation of accurate financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19, that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
5. That you believe that the effects of any unadjusted audit differences, summarised in the Audit Results Report, if relevant, accumulated by us during the current audit and pertaining to the latest period presented are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

That you have not corrected these differences identified and brought to your attention by us because (please specify the reasons for not correcting the misstatements).



Appendix B - continued

Request for a Management Representation Letter



3

B. Non-compliance with law and regulations, including fraud

1. That you acknowledge that you are responsible to determine that the Council's activities are conducted in accordance with laws and regulations and that you are responsible for identifying and addressing any non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including fraud.
2. That you acknowledge that you are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud.
3. That you have disclosed to us the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
4. You have no knowledge of any identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, including fraud that may have affected the Group or Council (regardless of the source or form and including without limitation, any allegations by "whistleblowers") including non-compliance matters:
 - involving financial statements;
 - related to laws and regulations that have a direct effect on the determination of material amounts and disclosures in the Council's financial statements;
 - related to laws and regulations that have an indirect effect on amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, but compliance with which may be fundamental to the operations of the Council's activities, its ability to continue to operate, or to avoid material penalties;
 - involving management, or employees who have significant roles in internal controls, or others; or
 - in relation to any allegations of fraud, suspected fraud or other non-compliance with laws and regulations communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

C. Information Provided and Completeness of Information and Transactions

1. You have provided us with:
 - Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters as agreed in terms of the audit engagement.
 - Additional information that we have requested from us for the purpose of the audit; and
 - Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom we determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
2. That all material transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.



4

3. That you have made available to us all minutes of the meetings of the Council and its relevant committees (or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared) held through the year to the most recent meeting on the following date: 25 July 2019.
4. That you confirm the completeness of information provided regarding the identification of related parties. We have disclosed to you the identity of the Council related parties and all related party relationships and transactions of which you are aware, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers of assets, liabilities and services, leasing arrangements, guarantees, non-monetary transactions and transactions for no consideration for the period ended, as well as related balances due to or from such parties at the year end. These transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in the financial statements.
5. That you believe that the significant assumptions you used in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
6. That you have disclosed to us, and the Council has complied with, all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance, including all covenants, conditions or other requirements of all outstanding debt.

D. Liabilities and Contingencies

1. All liabilities and contingencies, including those associated with guarantees, whether written or oral, have been disclosed to us and are appropriately reflected in the financial statements.
2. That you have informed us of all outstanding and possible litigation and claims, whether or not they have been discussed with legal counsel.
3. That you have recorded and/or disclosed, as appropriate, all liabilities related litigation and claims, both actual and contingent, and have disclosed in the financial statements (please specify the Note) all guarantees that you have given to third parties.

E. Subsequent Events

1. That other than described in the relevant note (Note X) to the Council's financial statements, there have been no events subsequent to period end which require adjustment of or disclosure in the financial statements or notes thereto.

F. Accounting Estimates

1. That you believe that the significant assumptions you used in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
2. In respect of accounting estimates recognised or disclosed in the financial statements:
 - That you believe the measurement processes, including related assumptions and models, you used in determining accounting estimates is appropriate and the application of these processes is consistent.
 - That the disclosures relating to accounting estimates are complete and appropriate in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.



Appendix B – continued

Request for a Management Representation Letter



5

- That the assumptions you used in making accounting estimates appropriately reflects your intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of the entity, where relevant to the accounting estimates and disclosures.
- That no subsequent event requires an adjustment to the accounting estimates and disclosures included in the financial statements.

G. Expenditure Funding Analysis

1. You confirm that the financial statements reflect the operating segments reported internally to the Council.

H. Going Concern

1. That you have made us aware of any issues that are relevant to the Council's ability to continue as a going concern, including significant conditions and events, our plans for future action, and the feasibility of those plans.

I. Ownership of Assets

1. That except for assets capitalised under finance leases, the Council has satisfactory title to all assets appearing in the balance sheet(s), and there are no liens or encumbrances on the Council's assets, nor has any asset been pledged as collateral. All assets to which the Council has satisfactory title appear in the balance sheet(s).

J. Reserves

1. You have properly recorded or disclosed in the council financial statements the useable and unusable reserves.

K. Valuation of Property, Plant and Equipment Assets

1. That you agree with the findings of the experts engaged to evaluate the values of the Council's land and buildings and have adequately considered the qualifications of the experts in determining the amounts and disclosures included within the Council's financial statements and the underlying accounting records. That you did not give or cause any instructions to be given to the experts with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and that you are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an effect on the independence or objectivity of the experts.
2. You believe that the measurement processes, including related assumptions and models, used to determine the accounting estimate(s) have been consistently applied and are appropriate in the context of the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.
3. You confirm that the significant assumptions used in making the valuation of assets appropriately reflect your intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of the entity.
4. You confirm that the disclosures made in the council financial statements with respect to the accounting estimate(s) are complete and made in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.



6

5. You confirm that no adjustments are required to the accounting estimate(s) and disclosures in the council financial statements due to subsequent events.
6. You confirm that you have performed a desktop review of all assets not subject to revaluation as part of the 5 year rolling programme for valuations and that each asset category is not materially misstated.
7. You confirm that for assets carried at historic cost, that no impairment is required.

L. Retirement benefits

1. That on the basis of the process established by you and having made appropriate enquiries, you are satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the scheme liabilities are consistent with your knowledge of the business. All significant retirement benefits and all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for.
2. You agree with the findings of the specialists that you engaged to evaluate the Valuation of Pension Liabilities and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures included in the consolidated and council financial statements and the underlying accounting records. You did not give or cause any instructions to be given to the specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and you are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an effect on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.
3. You believe that the measurement processes, including related assumptions and models, used to determine the accounting estimate(s) have been consistently applied and are appropriate in the context of the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.
4. You confirm that the significant assumptions used in making the valuation of the pension liability appropriately reflect our intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of the entity.
5. You confirm that the disclosures made in the consolidated and council financial statements with respect to the accounting estimate(s) are complete and made in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/19.
6. You confirm that no adjustments are required to the accounting estimate(s) and disclosures in the consolidated and council financial statements due to subsequent events.

M. Other information

1. You acknowledge your responsibility for the preparation of the other information. The other information comprises the Narrative Report included in the Statement of Accounts 2018/2019.
2. You confirm that the content contained within the other information is consistent with the financial statements.

N. Specific Representations

We do not require any specific representations in addition to those above.



Appendix B - continued

Request for a Management Representation Letter



7

I would be grateful if you could provide a letter of representation, which is appropriately signed and dated (by the s151 officer and Chair of Audit Committee) on the proposed audit opinion date (date to be advised) on formal headed paper.

Yours sincerely

Mark Hodgson
Associate Partner
Ernst & Young LLP
United Kingdom

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2017 EYGM Limited.
All Rights Reserved.

ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com