UPDATES

1. Corrections

1.1 Typographical errors to be corrected as follows;

- Para 9.33 (Page 32) should read; “The phasing plan proposes that development will commence to the west ….”

- The “RECOMMENDATION” on page 34 should be numbered under Section 11 and not 3 as printed.

2. Further comments

2.1 1 letter of representation raising concerns over increased traffic flows through Teal Road and flood risk.

   Officer response;

   It is considered that these matters have been adequately addressed in section 9 of the Officer’s report.

2.2 1 letter of objection raising questions over the likelihood of the development going ahead and also concerns over pressures on local infrastructure.

   Officer response;

   There is no evidence to indicate that the development would not proceed, nonetheless this is not a material consideration to the acceptability of the reserved matters under consideration.

   Infrastructure contributions and the ability of the development to mitigate its impacts were previously considered and agreed at outline stage and a S106 agreement was secured to address this.

Resolution:

Recommendation to approve as per section 11 (page 34) of the Officer’s report.